Table: 2 RNTCP Case Notification from private sector State/UT wise (2015)

| State | Population (in lakh) covered by RNTCP ¹ | Number of health facilities registered in 2015 | Number of health facilities registered (Laboratori es - Private) | Number of health facilities registered (Single clinic - Private) | Number of health facilities registered (Multi- clicnic - Private) | Number of patients notified by (Laboratori es - Private) | Number of patients notified by (Single clinic - Private) | Number of patients notified by (Multi- clinic - Private) | No of TB patients notification by private sector2 | No of TB cases notified by private sector per 1,00,000 population |
|----------------------|--|--|--|--|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Andaman & Nicobar | 4 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 21 | 21 | 5.47 |
| Andhra Pradesh | 508 | 6065 | 3655 | 26 | 2384 | 2713 | 66 | 4385 | 7164 | 14.11 |
| Arunachal Pradesh | 15 | 25 | 0 | 6 | 19 | 0 | 0 | 31 | 31 | 2.07 |
| Assam | 329 | 688 | 80 | 441 | 167 | 378 | 888 | 698 | 1964 | 5.97 |
| Bihar | 1131 | 4223 | 336 | 3450 | 437 | 16058 | 72 | 673 | 16803 | 14.86 |
| Chandigarh | 11 | 119 | 3 | 108 | 8 | 138 | 39 | | 177 | 15.98 |
| Chhattisgarh | 275 | 1624 | 226 | 881 | 517 | 832 | 1836 | 2721 | 5389 | 19.57 |
| Dadar & Nagar Haveli | 4 | 74 | 9 | 44 | 21 | 0 | 0 | 66 | 66 | 16.53 |
| Daman & Diu | 3 | 14 | 10 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 28 | 102 | 130 | 45.95 |
| Delhi | 176 | 2241 | 260 | 1406 | 575 | 1627 | 688 | 1734 | 4049 | 23.01 |
| Goa | 15 | 601 | 29 | 524 | 48 | 14 | 231 | 49 | 294 | 19.67 |
| Gujarat | 645 | 8500 | 423 | 5695 | 2382 | 948 | 14118 | 12177 | 27243 | 42.21 |
| Haryana | 272 | 941 | 90 | 265 | 586 | 762 | 630 | 2665 | 4057 | 14.91 |
| Himachal Pradesh | 71 | 526 | 79 | 318 | 129 | 12 | 115 | 505 | 632 | 8.85 |
| Jammu & Kashmir | 136 | 825 | 369 | 273 | 183 | 9 | 163 | 1 | 173 | 1.28 |
| Jharkhand | 359 | 900 | 99 | 463 | 338 | 7 | 612 | 574 | 1193 | 3.33 |
| Karnataka | 644 | 10009 | 710 | 7224 | 2075 | 495 | 1474 | 2783 | 4752 | 7.38 |
| Kerala | 339 | 8010 | 1435 | 3362 | 3213 | 146 | 1336 | 5262 | 6744 | 19.92 |
| Lakshadweep | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 |
| Madhya Pradesh | 778 | 3084 | 256 | 1973 | 855 | 669 | 3443 | 4097 | 8209 | 10.55 |
| Maharashtra | 1177 | 27490 | 292 | 1136 | 102 | 19136 | 15345 | 17619 | 52100 | 44.26 |
| Manipur | 29 | 28 | 14 | 1 | 13 | 102 | 0 | 387 | 489 | 16.86 |
| Meghalaya | 33 | 75 | 4 | 39 | 32 | 0 | 388 | 506 | 894 | 27.32 |
| Mizoram | 12 | 110 | 12 | 81 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 51 | 51 | 4.37 |
| Nagaland | 20 | 22 | 9 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 84 | 104 | 188 | 9.31 |
| Orissa | 439 | 441 | 26 | 266 | 149 | 0 | 496 | 320 | 816 | 1.86 |
| Pondicherry | 14 | 13 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0.15 |
| Punjab | 290 | 1783 | 290 | 705 | 788 | 139 | 314 | 533 | 986 | 3.40 |
| Rajasthan | 736 | 1237 | 65 | 505 | 667 | 337 | 4044 | 7355 | 11736 | 15.94 |
| Sikkim | 6 | 19 | 4 | 13 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 |
| Tamil Nadu | 765 | 8313 | 260 | 5843 | 2210 | 347 | 1558 | 4281 | 6186 | 8.08 |
| Telangana | 363 | 1099 | 40 | 329 | 730 | 65 | 514 | 857 | 1436 | 3.96 |
| Tripura | 38 | 129 | 109 | 7 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 10 | 0.26 |
| Uttar Pradesh | 2151 | 7309 | 542 | 4622 | 2144 | 1300 | 9817 | 7748 | 18865 | 8.77 |
| Uttarakhand | 108 | 106 | 32 | 36 | 38 | 0 | 556 | 717 | 1273 | 11.83 |
| West Bengal | 952 | 5281 | 949 | 2934 | 1398 | 27 | 475 | 177 | 679 | 0.71 |
| TOTAL | 12848 | 101927 | 10717 | 42989 | 22260 | 46263 | 59330 | 79209 | 184802 | 14.38 |

1 Projected population based on census population of 2011 is used for calculation of case notification rate 1 lakh = 1,00,000 population

2 Notification information for pvt sector as per information in Nikshay Cohort for 2015 for pvt sector ntification is based on Date of diagnosis reported

Table: 3 Treatment Outcome of New cases for 2014

| | | | New | Sme | New Smear Positive | tive | | | | ٩N | w Sm | New Smear Negative ² | oativ | P 2 | | | 2 | PW F | New Extra Pulmonary | e nom | rv ² | |
|----------------------|-----------------|-------|----------------|------|--------------------|------------|--------|-----------------------|-----------------|----------------|------|---------------------------------|-----------------|-----|-----------------------|-----------------|----------------|------|---------------------|---------------|-----------------|--------------------|
| State | | | | | | . – | | | Paciet. | | | | | | | 10.000 | | | | | | |
| 21010 | Regist- ered | Cured | Lomp- leted | Died | D Failure | ted | out to | switched to Cat IV | kegist- ered | Comp- leted | Died | Failure | Defaul I ted | out | Switched to Cat IV | kegist- ered | Lomp- leted | Died | L Failure | Deraul ted | out 1 | switched to Cat IV |
| Andaman & Nicobar | 234 | 85% | 1% | 3% | 2% | 3% | 3% | 4% | 193 | 85% | %6 | %0 | 2% | 1% | 3% | 201 | 93% | 2% | %0 | 2% | 2% | %0 |
| Andhra Pradesh | 29788 | 88% | 3% | 4% | 2% | 3% | %0 | %0 | 13288 | 91% | 5% | 0% | 4% | %0 | %0 | 8439 | 95% | 3% | %0 | 2% | %0 | 0% |
| Arunachal Pradesh | 938 | 87% | 1% | 2% | 2% | 2% | 1% | 4% | 474 | 92% | 3% | 0% | 3% | 0% | 1% | 613 | 97% | 1% | %0 | 1% | 0% | 0% |
| Assam | 16847 | 79% | 6% | 5% | 2% | 7% | 1% | %0 | 8982 | 85% | 4% | %0 | 10% | 1% | %0 | 5832 | 91% | 3% | %0 | 5% | 1% | 0% |
| Bihar | 32991 | 76% | 13% | 3% | 1% | 6% | %0 | %0 | 16284 | 91% | 2% | %0 | 6% | 1% | %0 | 4504 | 91% | 2% | %0 | 3% | 4% | 0% |
| Chandigarh | 1029 | 89% | %0 | 2% | 3% | 3% | 2% | 1% | 306 | %96 | 1% | %0 | 1% | 1% | %0 | 1025 | 98% | %0 | %0 | 1% | 1% | 0% |
| Chhattisgarh | 11875 | 83% | 6% | 5% | 1% | 5% | 0% | %0 | 9561 | %06 | 4% | %0 | 6% | %0 | %0 | 3728 | 95% | 2% | %0 | 2% | %0 | 0% |
| Dadar & Nagar Haveli | 162 | 85% | %0 | 2% | 2% | 5% | 3% | 2% | 56 | 80% | 4% | 4% | 5% | 5% | 2% | 135 | 94% | 4% | %0 | 1% | 1% | 0% |
| Daman & Diu | 77 | 83% | %0 | 1% | 3% | 8% | 5% | %0 | 110 | 76% | 4% | %0 | 15% | 5% | %0 | 36 | 58% | 6% | %0 | 22% | 14% | 0% |
| Delhi | 13704 | 86% | %0 | 3% | 2% | 5% | 1% | 2% | 8842 | 93% | 2% | 1% | 3% | 1% | %0 | 19365 | 97% | 1% | %0 | 2% | 1% | 0% |
| Goa | 597 | 86% | 1% | 3% | 4% | 4% | 1% | 2% | 241 | %06 | 5% | %0 | 2% | 1% | 2% | 521 | 97% | 2% | %0 | 1% | 1% | %0 |
| Gujarat | 37716 | 88% | 1% | 5% | 2% | 4% | 1% | 1% | 7848 | 89% | 5% | %0 | 4% | 1% | %0 | 11089 | 94% | 3% | %0 | 2% | 1% | %0 |
| Haryana | 14265 | 84% | 2% | 5% | 2% | 6% | 1% | %0 | 7361 | 87% | 3% | %0 | 8% | 1% | %0 | 7748 | 95% | 1% | %0 | 4% | %0 | %0 |
| Himachal Pradesh | 5346 | 87% | 3% | 4% | 2% | 4% | 1% | %0 | 2233 | 92% | 3% | 1% | 4% | %0 | %0 | 3558 | 96% | 2% | %0 | 2% | 0% | 0% |
| Jammu & Kashmir | 4233 | 82% | 6% | 3% | 1% | 4% | 4% | %0 | 1426 | 87% | 3% | %0 | 7% | 4% | %0 | 2691 | 92% | 2% | %0 | 4% | 2% | 0% |
| Jharkhand | 17913 | 84% | %9 | 4% | 1% | 5% | %0 | %0 | 10111 | 88% | 4% | %0 | 7% | %0 | %0 | 2360 | 91% | 4% | %0 | 4% | 1% | 0% |
| Karnataka | 26058 | 82% | 1% | 7% | 2% | <i>1</i> % | 1% | %0 | 10951 | 86% | 7% | %0 | 6% | 1% | %0 | 11707 | %06 | 5% | %0 | 4% | 1% | %0 |
| Kerala | 10710 | 84% | 2% | 5% | 4% | 4% | 1% | %0 | 3481 | 92% | 4% | %0 | 3% | %0 | %0 | 5955 | 92% | 4% | %0 | 3% | 1% | 0% |
| Lakshadweep | 15 | 87% | %0 | 13% | %0 | 0% | %0 | %0 | 9 | 100% | %0 | %0 | %0 | %0 | %0 | 3 | 100% | %0 | %0 | %0 | 0% | 0% |
| Madhya Pradesh | 41582 | 86% | 4% | 4% | 1% | 5% | 0% | %0 | 28995 | 91% | 3% | %0 | 6% | 0% | %0 | 13892 | 96% | 2% | %0 | 3% | 0% | 0% |
| Maharashtra | 51536 | 82% | 2% | 5% | 2% | 5% | 2% | 2% | 25467 | 85% | 5% | %0 | 6% | 3% | 1% | 26742 | 91% | 4% | %0 | 3% | 2% | 0% |
| Manipur | 801 | 84% | 1% | 4% | 2% | 7% | 1% | %0 | 477 | 88% | 3% | %0 | 9% | %0 | %0 | 503 | 93% | 3% | %0 | 4% | %0 | 0% |
| Meghalaya | 1616 | 80% | 2% | 4% | 4% | %L | 1% | 2% | 683 | 87% | 5% | %0 | 7% | 1% | %0 | 1391 | %06 | 2% | %0 | 7% | 1% | %0 |
| Mizoram | 511 | 84% | 3% | 3% | 5% | 4% | 0% | 2% | 358 | 87% | 7% | 1% | 5% | 1% | %0 | 759 | 93% | 3% | %0 | 4% | 0% | 0% |
| Nagaland | 1037 | 80% | 1% | 3% | 3% | 3% | %0 | %0 | 529 | 91% | 3% | %0 | 5% | %0 | %0 | 623 | 94% | 2% | 1% | 3% | 0% | 0% |
| Orissa | 20388 | 83% | 5% | 5% | 1% | 6% | 1% | %0 | 9290 | 88% | 6% | 0% | 6% | 1% | 0% | 9325 | 92% | 4% | %0 | 3% | 1% | 0% |
| Pondicherry | 635 | 88% | %0 | 4% | 2% | 4% | 0% | 1% | 155 | 94% | 5% | 0% | 1% | %0 | 0% | 378 | 97% | 2% | %0 | %0 | 0% | 0% |
| Punjab | 15066 | 83% | 4% | 5% | 2% | 5% | 1% | %0 | 6616 | 88% | 6% | 0% | 4% | 1% | 0% | 8363 | 94% | 3% | %0 | 2% | 1% | 0% |
| Rajasthan | 36728 | 87% | 3% | 3% | 1% | 5% | 0% | %0 | 24886 | 91% | 3% | %0 | 5% | %0 | %0 | 14688 | 95% | 2% | %0 | 3% | %0 | 0% |
| Sikkim | 515 | 79% | %0 | 2% | 5% | 1% | 1% | 13% | 274 | 93% | 2% | 2% | %0 | %0 | 2% | 393 | 95% | 4% | %0 | 1% | %0 | 1% |
| Tamil Nadu | 36465 | 80% | 2% | 5% | 1% | 7% | 4% | %0 | 17871 | 86% | 4% | %0 | 3% | 6% | %0 | 15648 | 94% | 3% | %0 | 2% | 1% | 0% |
| Telangana | 20034 | 86% | 4% | 4% | 2% | 3% | 0% | 1% | 7865 | 91% | 5% | %0 | 3% | %0 | 0% | 6371 | 96% | 3% | %0 | 1% | 1% | 0% |
| Tripura | 1344 | 87% | 2% | 5% | 2% | 4% | 0% | %0 | 419 | 89% | 6% | 0% | 5% | %0 | 0% | 366 | 93% | 3% | %0 | 4% | 0% | 0% |
| Uttar Pradesh | 124904 | 82% | 6% | 4% | 1% | 6% | 1% | %0 | 55176 | 89% | 3% | %0 | 7% | 1% | %0 | 30892 | 94% | 2% | %0 | 3% | 1% | 0% |
| Uttarakhand | 5418 | 77% | 8% | 4% | 2% | 7% | 1% | %0 | 3078 | 88% | 3% | %0 | 7% | 1% | 0% | 2580 | 95% | 1% | %0 | 4% | %0 | 0% |
| West Bengal | 44632 | 85% | 1% | 4% | 2% | 6% | 1% | 1% | 12734 | 86% | %9 | %0 | 6% | 1% | 0% | 16972 | 92% | 3% | %0 | 4% | 1% | 0% |
| Grand Total | 627710 | 83% | 4% | 4% | 2% | 5% | 1% | 1% | 296627 | 89% | 4% | %0 | 6% | 1% | %0 | 239396 | 94% | 3% | %0 | 3% | 1% | %0 |

Treatment success for New Smear Positive is cured and treatment completed.
Treatment success for New Smear Negative and New Extra Pulmonary are treatment completed.

Table: 4 "Outcome of Smear Positive Retreatment casesfor India 2014 (excluding "Others")

| Type of retreatment case | No. registered | Cured | Success | Died | Failure | Defaulted | Transferred out | Switched to Cat IV |
|------------------------------|----------------|-------|---------|------|---------|-----------|-----------------|-----------------------|
| Relapse | 103799 | 68% | 74% | 7% | 0% | 10% | 1% | 0% |
| Failure | 12638 | 52% | 58% | 10% | 1% | 13% | 1% | 1% |
| Treatment after de- fault | 61729 | 57% | 66% | 9% | 0% | 16% | 4% | 0% |
| Total | 178166 | 63% | 70% | 8% | 4% | 12% | 2% | 4% |

| (excluding "Others") |
|--------------------------|
| 2014 |
| Retreatment cases |
| Positive I |
| ie of Smear |
| outcon |
| 5 State-wise |
| Table: |

| Switched to Cat IV | 7% | 4% | 13% | 3% | 4% | 1% | 1% | %0 | %0 | 8% | 2% | 2% | 2% | %0 | 2% | 3% | 2% | #DIV/0 | 4% | 7% | 7% | 5% | 1% | 2% | 7% | 3% | 3% | 39% | 0%7 | 3% | 3% | 2% | 5% | 3% |
|----------------------------------|-------------------------|----------------------|--------------------------|------|----------|---------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------|------------------|-----|--------------------------|-----|-----------------------|----------|-------|--------|--------------------|---------|------------------|------------------|------|---------------------------|-----------|---------------------|-----------|------|-----|--------------|-----------|-------|------------------|--------|------------|
| Trans- S ferred to out | %0 | %0 | %0 | 6% | 1% | 4% | 2% | 12% | 8% | 2% | %0 | 1% | 1% | 13% | 1% | 3% | 2% | #DIV/01 # | 1% | 12% | %0 | 7% | %0 %0 | 3% | %0 | 3% | %0 | 0% | 13% | 3% | 4% | 11% | 3% | 4% |
| De- faulted | 33% | 11% | 16% | 22% | 12% | 12% | 16% | 12% | 8% | 13% | 26% | 14% 16% | 16% | 19% | 15% | 24% | 21% | #DIV/01 # | 16% | 20% | 15% | 29% | 11% | 19% | 14% | 13% | 11% | %0 | 19% | 3% 26% | 14% | 15% | 22% | 16% |
| Failure | 7% | 3% | 2% | 3% | 2% | 4% | 1% | %0 | 4% | 4% | 9% | 4% | 3% | 4% | 2% | 4% | 4% | #DIV/01 # | 2% | 4% | %0 | 3% | F 1% | 2% | 17% | 3% | 2% | %0 | 0%7 | 3% | 2% | 3% | 3% | 3% |
| Died | 7% | 6% | 3% | 8% | 6% | 4% | 12% | 12% | 4% | 7% | 6% | %6 %6 | 8% | 4% | 6% | 13% | 9% | #DIV/01 | 10% | 13% | 5% | 17% | 0% 2% | 10% | 2% | 9% | 7% | %0 | 9% 00/ | 18% | 7% | %6 | 10% | %6 |
| Success | 47% | 72% | 65% | 58% | 76% | 73% | 67% | 65% | 76% | 66% | 57% | /0% 69% | 71% | 60% | 74% | 52% | 61% | #DIV/0i # | 68% | 46% | 73% | 39% | 0/% 82% | 64% | %09 | 20% | %22 | 61% | %20 | 47% | %02 | 60% | 58% | 66% |
| Cured S | 33% | 67% | 64% | 47% | 58% | 72% | 49% | 65% | 68% | 66% | 57% | 61% | 58% | 45% | 63% | 48% | 52% | #DIV/0i # | 53% | 40% | 62% | 35% | %0C | 52% | 80% | 63% | 69% | 61% | 41 % | 47% | 58% | 48% | 54% | 57% |
| No. reg- istered | 15 | 2858 | 89 | 1234 | 4174 | 67 | 646 | 17 | 25 | 1974 | 54 | 4/88 1904 | 194 | 268 | 975 | - | + | 0 | 3624 | 4021 | 73 | 115 | 400 | 1678 | 42 | 1092 | 4640 | 18 | 2466 | 34 | 10909 | 659 | 3030 | 61729 |
| Switched N to Cat IV it | 67% | 6% | 29% | | 10% | 8% | 5% | %0 | %0 | | - | 4% | | 3% | 9% | - | + | #DIV/0 | 7% | 11% | 14% | 34% | 11% | 2% | 6% | | - | | | 25% | | 6% | 12% | 8% |
| Trans- Sw ferred to out |) %0 | %0 | %0 | 1% | | 3% | 1% | %0 | %0 | | | 1% | | 10% | 2% | + | + | #DIV/01 #I | 1% | 2% | | 4% | + | | %0 | | - | | | %0 | | 1% | 1% | 1% |
| Defaulted fe | %0 | 10% | 13% | 17% | 16% | 5% | 11% | %0 | %0 | | | 13% | | . %8 | | _ | 7% | #DIN/0i #I | 14% | 16% | 3% | 5% | 0% | 14% | 9% | | 10% | _ | | _ | | 16% | 16% | 13% |
| Failure De | 22% | 10% | 13% | 8% | | 3% | %6 | 14% | %0 | | - | 8% | | 16% | 8% | 6% | 9% | # i0//II | 6% | 11% | 17% | 17% | 1.7% | 8% | 19% | | 6% | _ | _ | _ | | 8% | 6% | <u></u> %б |
| Died | : %0 | 12% | . %0 | 11% | 7% | 13% | 12% | %0 | %0 | | + | 9% | | . %8 | 10% | 14% | 6% | #DIN/0i #I | 10% | 14% | | 4% | + | | 6% | | 9% | | | 5% | | 11% | 8% | 10% |
| Success | 11% | 61% | 46% | | 61% | . %69 | | 86% | 100% | 60% | 54% | 57% | | 56% | 55% . | - | 74% | #DIN/0i #I | 61% | 46% | 59% | 37% | %Dc | 63% | 29% | | 69% | | 04% | 40% | 60% | 58% | 55% | 58% |
| Cured Su | 11% | 57% | 42% | _ | 44% | 69% | 51% | 86% | 100% 1 | 59% | + | | | 52% | 45% | + | + | #DIV/01 # | 49% | 41% | | | - | 53% | | | 62% | - | 41% 570/ | _ | | 44% | 53% | 52% |
| No. reg- istered | 6 | 720 8 | 24 | | 336 4 | 39 (| 180 | 7 | - | | | 834 630 | | 6 | 164 4 | - | + | 0 # | 689 | 1100 | | _ | - | 254 | | | - | + | _ | 20 | | 150 4 | 1131 | 12638 |
| Switched No to Cat IV is | 25% | 3% | 15% | | 4% | 2% | . 2% | 11% | 7% | | | 3% | | 1% | 3% . | - | 4% | #DIV/0i | 4% | 9% 1 | 7% | + | 0% 2% | | 1% | | + | | 4% | - | | 3% | 5% 1 | 4% 1: |
| Trans- Swi ferred to C out | 0% 2 | 0% | 1 1 | | 7 %0 | 1% 2 | 1% 2 | 5% 1 | 14% 7 | | 1% | | | 9% | | + | 1% 2 | #DIN/0i #D | 1% 2 | 2% 6 | | 2% | | 1% | - %0 | | %0 | _ | 0.0 10/ | | | 1% | 1% | 1% |
| Defaulted fer c | 0% 0 | 6% C | 4% 0 | - | 10% C | 4% 1 | 10% 1 | 14% | 14% 1 | $\left \right $ | | 9% 12% | | 8% | 10% 1 | - | 6% 1 | #DIN/0i #D | 10% 1 | 12% 2 | 10% 1 | | 4% | | 5% (0 | | + | _ | 70/ | | ~0 | 12% 1 | 10% 1 | 10% |
| Failure Defa | 3% C | 3% 6 | 0% | | 2% 1 | 4% 4 | 3% 1 | 3% 1 | 0% 1 | $\left \right $ | 8% | - | | 4% 8 | 3% 1 | - | 6% 6 | #DIV/0i #D | 3% 1 | 4% 1 | $\left \right $ | | 2 %2 | - | 9% | | 2% | _ | | | | 2% 1 | 4% 1 | 3% |
| Died Fai | 7% 3 | 8% 3 | 4% 0 | _ | 5% 2 | 3% 4 | 6% | 5% 3 | 0% | | 2% | | | 5% 4 | 6% 3 | - | 6% 6 | # i0//10# | 7% 3 | 9% 4 | | 7% 7 | + | | 12% 6 | | - | _ | 70/ | _ | | 5% 2 | 7% 4 | 5 %2 |
| Success D | 65% 7 | 3 %62 | 77% 4 | _ | 78% 5 | 86% 3 | 74% 5 | 62% | 64% (| | 72% | | | 73% 5 | 77% 6 | - | 78% 6 | #DIN/0i #D | 76% 7 | 64% 9 | | | 84% ² 85% 6 | - | 75% 1 | | _ | | 0/_/0 | | | 76% 5 | 73% 7 | 74% |
| Cured Suc | 63% 6 | 76% 7 | 74% 7 | | 63% 7 | 86% 8 | 64% 7 | 62% 6 | 64% 6 | | + | 68% 7 | | 62% 7. | \vdash | 62% 6 | _ | #DI//0i #D | 64% 7 | 6 %09 | | _ | 7.0% 8 7.1% 8 | - | 75% 7 | | - | + | 0 %70 | - | | 66% 7 | 2 %02 | 68% 7 |
| No. reg- istered | | 4840 7 | | | 2881 6 | | | | | | | 4719 | | 1158 6 | 1733 6 | | 1134 7 | 1# | 5091 6 | 10503 6 | | | | | 130 7 | | ~ | | D 2243 | | 05 | 1499 6 | 6461 7 | 103799 6 |
| Andaman No & Nicobar is | Andaman 71 & Nicobar | Andhra Pradesh 48 | Arunachal 210 Pradesh | | Bihar 28 | Chandi- 226 garh | Chhattis- 890 garh | Dadar & Nagar 37 Haveli | Daman & 14 Diu | | | Gujarat 80 Harvana 47 | _ | Jammu & 11 Kashmir | p | g | | Lakshad- 0 weep | <i></i> | Mahar- ashtra | Manipur 134 | /a | Magaland 200 | Orissa 20 | Pon- dicherry 13 | Punjab 44 | an | | Tolongono 22 | | | Uttara- khand | | |

| - |
|-----------------|
| et |
| |
| Ξ |
| 0 |
| |
| |
| 6 |
| ăí |
| |
| 2 |
| |
| lew TB ca: |
| \mathbf{m} |
| |
| F |
| > |
| > |
| D |
| - |
| Ζ |
| ed Ne |
| 2 |
| U |
| ** |
| 2 |
| nfe |
| - |
| |
| |
| ≓ |
| |
| T. |
| |
| |
| _ |
| |
| σ |
| 60 |
| 6 8 6 |
| ong a |
| ong a |
| nong a |
| imong a |
| among a |
| e among a |
| ie among al |
| _ |
| _ |
| _ |
| _ |
| _ |
| _ |
| outcome among a |
| _ |
| _ |
| _ |
| _ |
| _ |
| _ |
| ment outcom |
| _ |

| State | Total New Cases | Treatment Success | Died | Failure | TAD | Transfer Out | Switch to CAT 4 |
|----------------------|-----------------|-------------------|------|---------|-----|--------------|-----------------|
| Andaman & Nicobar | 0 | | | | | | |
| Andhra Pradesh | 5637 | 86% | 10% | 1% | 4% | %0 | %0 |
| Arunachal Pradesh | 0 | | | | | | |
| Assam | 84 | 81% | 10% | %0 | 8% | | 1% |
| Bihar | 677 | 84% | 6% | %0 | 2% | | %0 |
| Chandigarh | 25 | 88% | 8% | %0 | %0 | 4% | %0 |
| Chhattisgarh | 417 | 49% | 10% | %0 | 4% | 2% | 35% |
| Dadar & Nagar Haveli | 0 | | | | | | |
| Daman & Diu | 5 | 100% | %0 | %0 | %0 | %0 | %0 |
| Delhi | 462 | 83% | 6% | 2% | 6% | 2% | %0 |
| Goa | 83 | 84% | 11% | %0 | %0 | | 1% |
| Gujarat | 2070 | 80% | 13% | 1% | 4% | 1% | 1% |
| Haryana | 224 | 75% | 14% | 2% | %9 | %0 | 3% |
| Himachal Pradesh | 51 | 82% | 8% | 4% | %9 | %0 | %0 |
| Jammu & Kashmir | 19 | 42% | 2% | %0 | 21% | | 26% |
| Jharkhand | 149 | 20% | 13% | 1% | 14% | 1% | 1% |
| Karnataka | 5203 | 76% | 16% | 1% | 8% | 1% | %0 |
| Kerala | 273 | 21% | 12% | 3% | %9 | 2% | %0 |
| Lakshadweep | 0 | | | | | | |
| Madhya Pradesh | 481 | 78% | 13% | 1% | 6% | 1% | 1% |
| Maharashtra | 6848 | 76% | 13% | 1% | 2% | | 2% |
| Manipur | 84 | 83% | 8% | 2% | 2% | 1% | %0 |
| Meghalaya | 22 | 21% | 14% | 5% | 5% | %0 | %0 |
| Mizoram | 139 | 78% | 10% | 1% | 10% | | 1% |
| Nagaland | 104 | 88% | 8% | %0 | 3% | | 1% |
| Orissa | 478 | 74% | 18% | 1% | 4% | | 1% |
| Pondicherry | 32 | 78% | 22% | %0 | %0 | | %0 |
| Punjab | 427 | 80% | 11% | 2% | 9%9 | 1% | %0 |
| Rajasthan | 413 | 79% | 14% | %0 | 4% | %0 | 3% |
| Sikkim | 2 | %0 | %0 | %0 | %0 | 20% | 20% |
| Tamil Nadu | 3274 | 74% | 12% | 1% | 2% | | 1% |
| Telangana | 1966 | 82% | 13% | 1% | 3% | 1% | 1% |
| Tripura | 18 | 83% | 17% | %0 | %0 | | %0 |
| Uttar Pradesh | 451 | 73% | 13% | 1% | 7% | 4% | 1% |
| Uttarakhand | 44 | 20% | 7% | %0 | 14% | | 9%6 |
| West Bengal | 826 | 77% | 15% | %0 | 6% | 1% | %0 |
| Grand Total | 30988 | 78% | 13% | 1% | 2% | 2% | 1% |

| ases (2014) | |
|--|---|
| treatment TB c | 1 |
| HIV infected Re- | : |
| me among all | |
| Table: 7 Treatment outcome among all HIV infected Re-treatment TB cases (2014) | |
| Table: 7 | |

| States | Total Retreatment Cases | Treatment Success | Died | Failure | TAD | Transfer Out | Switch to CAT 4 |
|----------------------|----------------------------|-------------------|------|---------|-----|--------------|-----------------|
| Andaman & Nicobar | 0 | | | | | | |
| Andhra Pradesh | 1699 | 77% | 14% | 2% | 5% | 1% | 1% |
| Arunachal Pradesh | 0 | | | | | | |
| Assam | 27 | 77% | 11% | 1% | 11% | %0 | 0%0 |
| Bihar | 269 | 82% | 10% | 1% | 3% | %0 | 4% |
| Chandigarh | 4 | 75% | %0 | 25% | %0 | %0 | %0 |
| Chhattisgarh | 101 | 47% | 15% | %0 | 8% | 2% | 29% |
| Dadar & Nagar Haveli | 4 | 75% | 25% | %0 | %0 | %0 | %0 |
| Daman & Diu | - | %0 | 100% | %0 | %0 | %0 | %0 |
| Delhi | 346 | 29% | 8% | 1% | 8% | 2% | 2% |
| Goa | 34 | 29% | 12% | 3% | 21% | 3% | 3% |
| Gujarat | 927 | 74% | 14% | 2% | 8% | 1% | %0 |
| Haryana | 140 | 71% | 12% | 6% | 8% | 1% | 1% |
| Himachal Pradesh | 28 | 71% | 18% | %0 | 4% | 4% | 4% |
| Jammu & Kashmir | 8 | 75% | %0 | %0 | 25% | %0 | %0 |
| Jharkhand | 76 | %62 | 12% | %0 | 10% | %0 | %0 |
| Karnataka | 1717 | 68% | 18% | 2% | 10% | 2% | %0 |
| Kerala | 104 | 72% | 14% | 3% | 10% | 1% | %0 |
| Lakshadweep | 0 | | | | | | |
| Madhya Pradesh | 149 | 64% | 19% | 3% | 2% | 3% | 3% |
| Maharashtra | 3464 | 69% | 15% | 2% | 8% | 3% | 4% |
| Manipur | 28 | 76% | 6% | 2% | 11% | %0 | %0 |
| Meghalaya | 9 | 83% | 0% | %0 | 0% | 17% | %0 |
| Mizoram | 52 | 77% | 8% | 0%0 | 12% | 0% | 4% |
| Nagaland | 22 | 83% | 8% | %0 | 6% | %0 | %0 |
| Orissa | 138 | 80% | 24% | 4% | 8% | %0 | 4% |
| Pondicherry | 6 | 78% | 22% | %0 | %0 | %0 | %0 |
| Punjab | 172 | 78% | 12% | %0 | 7% | 2% | 1% |
| Rajasthan | 274 | 73% | 16% | 1% | 8% | 1% | 1% |
| Sikkim | 2 | 100% | %0 | %0 | %0 | %0 | %0 |
| Tamil Nadu | 2015 | 69% | 12% | 1% | 6% | 11% | 1% |
| Telangana | 628 | 74% | 15% | 3% | 5% | 2% | 1% |
| Tripura | 4 | 20% | 20% | %0 | %0 | %0 | %0 |
| Uttar Pradesh | 340 | 20% | 14% | %0 | 6% | 3% | 6% |
| Uttarakhand | 22 | 91% | 6% | 0% | 0% | %0 | %0 |
| West Bengal | 406 | 75% | 13% | 2% | 8% | 1% | 1% |
| Total | 13269 | 71% | 14% | 2% | 2% | 3% | 2% |

Table: 8 Programme Infrastructure, Collaborations and Staffing status in 2015

| | | | | | | | | | Number of | Number of key staff in position | n position | | | | | | | | | |
|----------------------|---|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|-------------------------------------|---------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------------|----------------------------|---------------------|---------------------------------|------------|--------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------|----------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------|------------|---|----------------------|
| Implement- | | Implementing district details | Implementing district details | Collaboration with Other sectors | tion with ectors | | | | | State | | | | | | IRL & CDST Lab | DST Lab | | State Dr | State Drug Store |
| ing states | ot report- ing units (Districts / DTC) | | No. of DMCs | NGO | | Epidemiolo- gist(APO) | Medical Officer (MO-STC) | TB HIV Coordina- tor | Accounts officer | Secre- tarial Assistant | DEO-STC | DRTB Coordina- tor | State PPM Coordina- tor | Data Analyst | Micro- biologist EQA | Micro- biologist CDST | Sr Lab Tech IRL | DEO IRL | Pharma- cist cum Store- keeper | Store As- sistant |
| Andaman & Nicobar | 3 | 3 | 16 | | | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| Andhra Pradesh | 13 | 225 | 609 | 34 | 107 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Arunachal Pradesh | 14 | 20 | 37 | 19 | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | | 2 | - | + | - |
| Assam | 27 | • | 366 | | 2 | 0 | - | - | - | - | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | | - | 1 | 1 | 1 | - |
| Bihar | 38 | 18 | 721 | 126 | | 0 | | 0 | , | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | | 0.03 | 2 | 2 | - , | |
| Chhattisgarh | 27 | 130 | 379 | 37 | 257 | - | | - 0 | -0 | - 0 | | | | | - C | | | D F | | |
| Dadra & | | - | 6 | | | - | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 |
| Daman & Diu | 2 | 2 | 4 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | F | 0 | 0 | F | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Delhi | 2 | 4 | 193 | 4 | 4 | - | · | 0 | • | - | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | | • | - | - | 1 | 0 |
| Goa | 2 | | 21 | | L | | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | | | 1 | | + 0 | - |
| GUJARAT | 30 | | 984 | _ | S | | - | - 0 | - | - | | | | | | N | | | 7 | |
| Haryana Himachal | 17 | | 202 | | | 0 0 | | 0 0 | | | | 0 | 0 0 | 0 0 | | | | | | |
| Pradesh | 12 | 72 | 199 | 4 | 37 | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | - | - | - | 0 |
| Jammu & Kashmir | 14 | 58 | 172 | 15 | 23 | 2 | - | 2 | 2 | - | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | - | 2 | 2 |
| Jharkhand | 24 | | 329 | | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | - | - | 1 | - |
| Karnataka | 31 | 193 | 681 | 55 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Kerala | 14 | 73 | 498 | | | + | - | | - | - | - | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | | 1 | 1 | 1 | - |
| Lakshad- weep | - | - | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Madhya Pradesh | 50 | 223 | 812 | 16 | 170 | - | 0 | 0 | - | - | 2 | 0 | - | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | + | 1 | + |
| Maharashtra | 79 | 7 | 1448 | 378 | 6581 | F | 0 | - | 3 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 4 |
| Manipur | 0 | | 53 | | 0 | 0 | | 0 | | | - | 0 | - (| 0 | | | | | | |
| Mizoram | <u>ν</u> α | 18 | C0 24 | 11 202 | 76 | | | | | | | | - C | | | | ⊃ - | - C | | - C |
| Nagaland | 11 | | 42 | | | | - | - | - | - | | 0 | - | | | | 0 | - 0 | - | - |
| Orissa | 31 | 279 | 536 | 2 | | - | - | - | - | - | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | | | 0 | - | 1 | 0 |
| Pondicherry | - 0 | | 28 | | C C | 0 | - | - | - | | - | - 0 | 0 | 0 | | | | (| 1 | |
| Punjab | 7.7 | | 282 | | | D T | | | | D T | | | | | | |) T | | 1 | |
| Sikkim | 34 5 | 29/ | 23 | τ 0 | 132 | - 0 | - | | - C | | - | - | - C | - 0 | | | - | - | | 1 |
| Tamil Nadu | 33 | 58 | 834 | | | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | - | 0 | - | - | | - | - | 0 | - | - |
| Telangana | 11 | 150 | 389 | 15 | e | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | - | 1 | - |
| Tripura | 8 | 14 | 56 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | - |
| Uttar Pradesh | 75 | 637 | 1935 | 159 | 8 | 2 | 0 | - | - | - | - | 0 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | - | 4 | 2 |
| Uttarakhand | 13 | 65 | | 10 | | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | - | - | 1 | - |
| West Bengal | 28 | | 835 | | 42 | 2 | | -! | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | | | - | 2 | 2 |
| Grand Total | 18/ | 4888 | 13880 | 1813 | | 21 | 25 | 17 | 0.0 | C7 | | | ת | C | 16 | 34 | 35 | 29 | 40 | 33 |

110 TB INDIA 2016

| | | | Implementing district | Collaboration with | ion with | | | | | N | to the of hour of | off in additio | | | | | |
|-------------------------|-----------------------------------|------|-----------------------|---------------------------|----------|-----|------|------|------|-----|----------------------------------|-------------------------|--|----------------------------------|-------------------------|------------|------|
| | lotal no. of renort- | | details | Other sectors | ectors | | | | | | Number of Key start in position | | | | | | |
| Implementing states | ing units (Districts / DTC) | | No. of DMCs | NGO | đ | DTO | STS | STLS | 5 | DEO | Sr DRTB & TBHIV Supervisor | Sr MO DRTB Center | DRTB Center Statistical Assistant | Dist Programme Coordinator | Dist PPM Coordinator | Accountant | TBHV |
| Andaman & Nicobar | 3 | 3 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 7 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 3 |
| Andhra Pradesh | 13 | 225 | 609 | 34 | 107 | 13 | 177 | 124 | 234 | 10 | 11 | 3 | 3 | 6 | 0 | 6 | 149 |
| Arunachal Pradesh | 14 | 20 | 37 | 19 | 0 | 14 | 19 | 17 | 6 | 14 | 14 | - | 2 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 0 |
| Assam | 27 | 150 | 366 | 38 | 2 | 23 | 149 | 78 | 87 | 30 | 27 | 4 | | 27 | 27 | 27 | 33 |
| Bihar | 38 | 183 | 721 | 126 | | 35 | 136 | 144 | 734 | 35 | 31 | 5 | 5 | | | 0 | 53 |
| Chandigarh | - | 3 | 17 | 4 | 76 | | S | 5 | 12 | - | - | - | | | | | 14 |
| Chhattisgarh | 27 | 130 | 379 | 37 | 257 | 26 | 91 | 55 | 114 | 25 | 25 | - | 4 | - | - | 14 | 32 |
| Dadra & Nagar Haveli | - | - | 6 | 0 | 6 | - | 0 | - | 8 | - | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - |
| Daman & Diu | 2 | 2 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 2 | - | - | 2 | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | | | | 0 |
| Delhi | 25 | 41 | 193 | 47 | 48 | 25 | 41 | 38 | 199 | 23 | 24 | 3 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 240 |
| Goa | 2 | 9 | 21 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 9 | c | 2 | 2 | 2 | - | - | 0 | | 0 | 4 |
| Gujarat | 36 | 306 | 984 | 130 | 5624 | 36 | 301 | 143 | 961 | 36 | 38 | 5 | 5 | | 31 | 34 | 236 |
| Haryana | 21 | 119 | 262 | 12 | 35 | 19 | 71 | 51 | 76 | 18 | 19 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 73 |
| Himachal Pradesh | 12 | 72 | 199 | 4 | 37 | 12 | 67 | 46 | 67 | 12 | 12 | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 | - |
| Jammu & Kashmir | 14 | 58 | 172 | 15 | 23 | 14 | 51 | 42 | 22 | 11 | 10 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 30 |
| Jharkhand | 24 | 114 | 329 | 21 | 9 | 22 | 63 | 65 | 127 | 22 | 20 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 43 |
| Karnataka | 31 | 193 | 681 | 55 | 100 | 31 | 164 | 122 | 680 | 31 | 31 | 5 | | 25 | 26 | 15 | 238 |
| Kerala | 14 | 73 | 498 | 63 | 29 | 14 | 73 | 73 | 689 | 14 | 14 | 2 | | | | 14 | 66 |
| Lakshadweep | - | - | 6 | 0 | 0 | - | - | - | 19 | - | 0 | 0 | | | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Madhya Pradesh | 50 | 223 | 812 | 16 | 170 | 50 | 156 | 145 | 199 | 36 | 34 | 3 | + | 18 | 0 | 17 | 143 |
| Maharashtra | 62 | 439 | 1448 | 378 | 6581 | 78 | 404 | 308 | 359 | 78 | 76 | 14 | 13 | 0 | 26 | 47 | 520 |
| Manipur | 6 | 13 | 53 | 21 | 0 | 6 | 12 | 16 | 21 | 6 | 6 | 1 | 1 | | | 0 | 9 |
| Meghalaya | 7 | 18 | 65 | 11 | 0 | 2 | 18 | 13 | 63 | 7 | 7 | 1 | 2 | | | | 10 |
| Mizoram | 8 | | | 298 | 247 | 8 | 12 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 8 | + | | | 8 | | 4 |
| Nagaland | 11 | | | | 0 | 8 | 13 | 13 | 12 | 1 | = | 2 | | | | | 2 |
| Orissa | 31 | 279 | | 27 | 0 | 30 | 126 | 78 | 482 | 18 | 29 | 2 | 3 | 14 | | | 53 |
| Pondicherry | - | | | 4 | | | 9 | 5 | 12 | 0 | | - | - | | | 0 | 17 |
| Punjab | 22 | 133 | | 36 | | 21 | 52 | 36 | 102 | 16 | 18 | 1 | 2 | | | | 66 |
| Rajasthan | 34 | 287 | 856 | 34 | 132 | 34 | 224 | 73 | 19 | 31 | 21 | 7 | | | | | 17 |
| Sikkim | 5 | 5 | | 0 | 0 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 9 | 3 | 5 | 0 | - | 4 | | | 2 |
| Tamil Nadu | 33 | | | 62 | - | 32 | 320 | 135 | 304 | 29 | 32 | 9 | 8 | 26 | 30 | 28 | 383 |
| Telangana | 1 | 150 | | 15 | 30 | 11 | 104 | 62 | 133 | 1 | 1 | 2 | | | | | 84 |
| Tripura | 80 | 14 | 56 | 9 | | 8 | 9 | 9 | = | 4 | 4 | - | | 0 | | | 5 |
| Uttar Pradesh | 75 | Ŭ | 1935 | 159 | | 74 | 598 | 377 | 881 | 72 | 80 | 14 | - | | | | 427 |
| Uttarakhand | 13 | | | 10 | | 13 | 61 | 31 | 69 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 2 | | 0 | | 26 |
| West Bengal | 28 | | | | | 27 | 250 | 191 | 390 | 28 | 32 | 7 | | | | 12 | 193 |
| Grand Total | 731 | 4888 | 13886 | 1813 | 13926 | 207 | 3794 | 2516 | 7097 | 650 | 674 | 66 | 114 | 273 | | | 3177 |

Table- 9: Programmatic Management of Drug Resistant TB (PMDT) Implementation, Diagnosis, 6 months Interim,12 months Culture Conversion and Treatment Outcome of MDR-TB Case (Reported by DR-TB Centers-2015)

| | | | Diagr | iosis | | | ndicators o | on 6 mor | ths inter | im repo | rt | |
|----------------------|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|-------------------------|------------------|---------|--------|--------------------------|
| State | Number of DR TB Centres functional in the state | Number of MDR TB Suspects subjected to C-DST | Number of MDR TB Cases detected | Number of MDR TB Cases detected that were registered and initiated on treatment in 2015# | Number of XDR TB Cases detected that were registered and initiated on treatment in 2015 | Number of MDR TB Case registered and initiated on Cat IV in the 4 cohorts 6-9 months prior (2q14 to 1q15) (a) | Out of a (%) wh alive, treatmen culture no | o are , on nt and | Out of (%) wh | | No. (% | of a, 6) who ulted |
| Andaman & Nicobar | 1 | 571 | 52 | 46 | 1 | 46 | 36 | 78% | 4 | 9% | 5 | 11% |
| Andhra Pradesh | 10 | 13712 | 737 | 632 | 30 | 591 | 419 | 71% | 76 | 13% | 81 | 14% |
| Arunachal Pradesh | 2 | 1031 | 176 | 171 | 6 | 200 | 162 | 81% | 6 | 3% | 26 | 13% |
| Assam | 3 | 5582 | 403 | 424 | 24 | 382 | 306 | 80% | 38 | 10% | 27 | 7% |
| Bihar | 5 | 8943 | 1093 | 1287 | 67 | 1104 | 901 | 82% | 99 | 9% | 66 | 6% |
| Chandigarh | 1 | 744 | 44 | 36 | 3 | 40 | 32 | 80% | 2 | 5% | 3 | 8% |
| Chhattisgarh | 3 | 4190 | 215 | 183 | 1 | 160 | 124 | 78% | 17 | 11% | 15 | 9% |
| Delhi | 4 | 13386 | 1279 | 1566 | 166 | 1536 | 1152 | 75% | 122 | 8% | 170 | 11% |
| Goa | 1 | 485 | 40 | 43 | 3 | 42 | 25 | 60% | 10 | 24% | 2 | 5% |
| Gujarat* | 5 | 31683 | 2048 | 1860 | 288 | 1880 | 1393 | 74% | 174 | 9% | 221 | 12% |
| Haryana | 2 | 8586 | 713 | 659 | 22 | 601 | 451 | 75% | 64 | 11% | 69 | 11% |
| Himachal Pradesh | 2 | 3391 | 175 | 192 | 12 | 222 | 196 | 88% | 13 | 6% | 8 | 4% |
| Jammu & Kashmir | 3 | 1321 | 108 | 97 | 4 | 92 | 69 | 75% | 10 | 11% | 6 | 7% |
| Jharkhand | 4 | 3823 | 216 | 206 | 8 | 224 | 193 | 86% | 16 | 7% | 11 | 5% |
| Karnataka | 6 | 21827 | 1211 | 938 | 19 | 751 | 531 | 71% | 104 | 14% | 85 | 11% |
| Kerala* | 2 | 3653 | 161 | 180 | 10 | 198 | 160 | 81% | 13 | 7% | 16 | 8% |
| Madhya Pradesh | 7 | 17946 | 1671 | 1278 | 37 | 1161 | 918 | 79% | 108 | 9% | 105 | 9% |
| Maharashtra | 16 | 45829 | 5302 | 5878 | 899 | 5102 | 3319 | 65% | 586 | 11% | 537 | 11% |
| Manipur | 1 | 277 | 41 | 35 | 0 | 36 | 29 | 81% | 4 | 11% | 2 | 6% |
| Meghalaya | 2 | 546 | 142 | 189 | 8 | 191 | 156 | 82% | 20 | 10% | 11 | 6% |
| Mizoram | 1 | 442 | 43 | 47 | 1 | 45 | 33 | 73% | 6 | 13% | 5 | 11% |
| Nagaland | 2 | 605 | 82 | 63 | 0 | 63 | 49 | 78% | 3 | 5% | 1 | 2% |
| Orissa | 3 | 5234 | 204 | 262 | 5 | 277 | 242 | 87% | 24 | 9% | 12 | 4% |
| Puducherry | 1 | 623 | 15 | 13 | 0 | 30 | 21 | 70% | 4 | 13% | 5 | 17% |
| Punjab | 3 | 8146 | 514 | 446 | 26 | 466 | 341 | 73% | 59 | 13% | 54 | 12% |
| Rajasthan | 7 | 20975 | 1930 | 1728 | 117 | 1670 | 1229 | 74% | 209 | 13% | 192 | 11% |
| Sikkim | 1 | 578 | 207 | 203 | 27 | 239 | 148 | 62% | 11 | 5% | 10 | 4% |
| Tamil Nadu | 6 | 48318 | 1738 | 1031 | 69 | 1184 | 902 | 76% | 108 | 9% | 144 | 12% |
| Telangana | 7 | 17776 | 836 | 728 | 29 | 698 | 554 | 79% | 70 | 10% | 56 | 8% |
| Tripura | 1 | 477 | 14 | 16 | 0 | 15 | 8 | 53% | 1 | 7% | 4 | 27% |
| Uttar Pradesh | 14 | 28883 | 5481 | 4663 | 165 | 3238 | 2463 | 76% | 429 | 13% | 243 | 8% |
| Uttarakhand | 2 | 2986 | 415 | 303 | 24 | 274 | 220 | 80% | 24 | 9% | 14 | 5% |
| West Bengal | 8 | 16909 | 1570 | 1563 | 59 | 1638 | 1269 | 77% | 116 | 7% | 155 | 9% |
| Grand Total | 136 | 339478 | 28876 | 26966 | 2130 | 24396 | 18072 | 74% | 2550 | 10% | 2361 | 10% |

| | | Indicators on 12 months Culture Conversion Report | | | | | | | | |
|-------------------|---|---|---------------|--|--------------|---|-------------------|-----|----------------------|-----|
| State | Out of b, No. alive, on tre culture n | atment and | alive, on tre | (%) who are eatment and positive | alive, on tr | o. (%) who are reatment and not known | Out of b, N di | | Out of b, N defai | |
| Andaman & Nicobar | 13 | 48% | 3 | 11% | 5 | 19% | 5 | 19% | 1 | 4% |
| Andhra Pradesh | 382 | 53% | 34 | 5% | 36 | 5% | 110 | 15% | 112 | 15% |
| Arunachal Pradesh | 127 | 60% | 3 | 1% | 21 | 10% | 7 | 3% | 43 | 20% |
| Assam | 200 | 55% | 25 | 7% | 12 | 3% | 55 | 15% | 52 | 14% |
| Bihar | 362 | 42% | 43 | 5% | 184 | 21% | 113 | 13% | 88 | 10% |
| Chandigarh | 29 | 63% | 1 | 2% | 0 | 0% | 5 | 11% | 5 | 11% |
| Chhattisgarh | 72 | 51% | 7 | 5% | 10 | 7% | 27 | 19% | 17 | 12% |
| Delhi | 807 | 54% | 52 | 3% | 118 | 8% | 162 | 11% | 216 | 14% |
| Goa | 22 | 51% | 1 | 2% | 3 | 7% | 8 | 19% | 5 | 12% |
| Gujarat* | 872 | 45% | 126 | 7% | 146 | 8% | 295 | 15% | 302 | 16% |
| Haryana | 294 | 58% | 24 | 5% | 48 | 10% | 87 | 17% | 73 | 15% |
| Himachal Pradesh | 104 | 44% | 6 | 3% | 86 | 36% | 21 | 9% | 10 | 4% |
| Jammu & Kashmir | 55 | 52% | 6 | 6% | 4 | 4% | 13 | 12% | 14 | 13% |
| Jharkhand | 101 | 47% | 8 | 4% | 63 | 30% | 19 | 9% | 16 | 8% |
| Karnataka | 316 | 45% | 30 | 4% | 65 | 9% | 129 | 18% | 126 | 18% |
| Kerala* | 117 | 50% | 5 | 2% | 42 | 18% | 27 | 12% | 25 | 11% |
| Madhya Pradesh | 427 | 41% | 74 | 7% | 186 | 18% | 179 | 17% | 157 | 15% |
| Maharashtra | 1850 | 37% | 273 | 5% | 530 | 11% | 765 | 15% | 785 | 16% |
| Manipur | 29 | 85% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 2 | 6% | 0 | 0% |
| Meghalaya | 106 | 61% | 8 | 5% | 17 | 10% | 26 | 15% | 14 | 8% |
| Mizoram | 27 | 68% | 0 | 0% | 2 | 5% | 6 | 15% | 4 | 10% |
| Nagaland | 39 | 52% | 2 | 3% | 32 | 43% | 6 | 8% | 6 | 8% |
| Orissa | 93 | 42% | 16 | 7% | 71 | 32% | 25 | 11% | 15 | 7% |
| Puducherry | 12 | 41% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 11 | 38% | 6 | 21% |
| Punjab | 208 | 46% | 28 | 6% | 40 | 9% | 83 | 18% | 67 | 15% |
| Rajasthan | 851 | 50% | 73 | 4% | 185 | 11% | 281 | 16% | 298 | 17% |
| Sikkim | 123 | 52% | 5 | 2% | 5 | 2% | 21 | 9% | 9 | 4% |
| Tamil Nadu | 586 | 50% | 45 | 4% | 130 | 11% | 170 | 14% | 226 | 19% |
| Telangana | 440 | 52% | 38 | 5% | 87 | 10% | 140 | 17% | 98 | 12% |
| Tripura | 8 | 62% | 1 | 8% | 0 | 0% | 2 | 15% | 1 | 8% |
| Uttar Pradesh | 1215 | 49% | 232 | 9% | 194 | 8% | 469 | 19% | 255 | 10% |
| Uttarakhand | 153 | 58% | 14 | 5% | 28 | 11% | 32 | 12% | 22 | 8% |
| West Bengal | 1084 | 59% | 104 | 6% | 126 | 7% | 193 | 11% | 256 | 14% |
| Grand Total | 11124 | 47% | 1287 | 5% | 2476 | 11% | 3494 | 15% | 3324 | 14% |

| | | Indicators on Treatment Outcome of MDR TB Cases | | | | | | | | |
|----------------------|--|---|---|------------------------------|------|-------------------|------------------------------------|-----|---|----|
| State | Number of MDR TB cases registered in the 4 cohorts, 31-33 months prior (3q12 to 2q13) (c) | Out of c, No. reported as Cured | Out of c, No. re- ported as Treatment Completed | Out of c, Success Rate | | lo. (%) who ed | Out of c, No. (%) who defaulted | | Out of c, No. (%) who failed treatment | |
| Andaman & Nicobar | 17 | 8 | 1 | 53% | 6 | 35% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| Andhra Pradesh | 1012 | 398 | 109 | 50% | 254 | 25% | 175 | 17% | 23 | 2% |
| Arunachal Pradesh | 140 | 74 | 13 | 62% | 8 | 6% | 39 | 28% | 2 | 1% |
| Assam | 335 | 145 | 20 | 49% | 69 | 21% | 59 | 18% | 6 | 2% |
| Bihar | 359 | 140 | 37 | 49% | 84 | 23% | 55 | 15% | 17 | 5% |
| Chandigarh | 77 | 12 | 33 | 58% | 11 | 14% | 5 | 6% | 0 | 0% |
| Chhattisgarh | 81 | 26 | 10 | 44% | 18 | 22% | 18 | 22% | 0 | 0% |
| Delhi | 1565 | 587 | 178 | 49% | 270 | 17% | 396 | 25% | 26 | 2% |
| Goa | 42 | 22 | 1 | 55% | 6 | 14% | 7 | 17% | 3 | 7% |
| Gujarat* | 1833 | 575 | 168 | 41% | 386 | 21% | 435 | 24% | 112 | 6% |
| Haryana | 340 | 158 | 31 | 56% | 93 | 27% | 51 | 15% | 1 | 0% |
| Himachal Pradesh | 178 | 43 | 34 | 43% | 38 | 21% | 14 | 8% | 2 | 1% |
| Jammu & Kashmir | 119 | 58 | 13 | 60% | 20 | 17% | 12 | 10% | 1 | 1% |
| Jharkhand | 220 | 68 | 58 | 57% | 49 | 22% | 34 | 15% | 3 | 1% |
| Karnataka | 479 | 175 | 45 | 46% | 120 | 25% | 83 | 17% | 12 | 3% |
| Kerala* | 237 | 106 | 44 | 63% | 39 | 16% | 28 | 12% | 0 | 0% |
| Madhya Pradesh | 588 | 245 | 33 | 47% | 129 | 22% | 115 | 20% | 34 | 6% |
| Maharashtra | 4429 | 1167 | 478 | 37% | 1008 | 23% | 902 | 20% | 125 | 3% |
| Manipur | 44 | 8 | 25 | 75% | 4 | 9% | 3 | 7% | 0 | 0% |
| Meghalaya | 142 | 45 | 40 | 60% | 26 | 18% | 17 | 12% | 6 | 4% |
| Mizoram | 38 | 26 | 3 | 76% | 5 | 13% | 3 | 8% | 0 | 0% |
| Nagaland | 67 | 25 | 18 | 64% | 10 | 15% | 13 | 19% | 0 | 0% |
| Orissa | 171 | 67 | 17 | 49% | 20 | 12% | 41 | 24% | 3 | 2% |
| Puducherry | 22 | 10 | 0 | 45% | 4 | 18% | 5 | 23% | 1 | 5% |
| Punjab | 434 | 131 | 62 | 44% | 88 | 20% | 98 | 23% | 13 | 3% |
| Rajasthan | 2205 | 843 | 168 | 46% | 498 | 23% | 515 | 23% | 53 | 2% |
| Sikkim | 183 | 74 | 5 | 43% | 16 | 9% | 12 | 7% | 0 | 0% |
| Tamil Nadu | 1283 | 403 | 138 | 42% | 311 | 24% | 341 | 27% | 22 | 2% |
| Telangana | 596 | 271 | 8 | 47% | 135 | 23% | 134 | 22% | 13 | 2% |
| Tripura | 15 | 6 | 3 | 60% | 3 | 20% | 2 | 13% | 0 | 0% |
| Uttar Pradesh | 814 | 270 | 137 | 50% | 202 | 25% | 118 | 14% | 23 | 3% |
| Uttarakhand | 176 | 82 | 30 | 64% | 22 | 13% | 25 | 14% | 5 | 3% |
| West Bengal | 1057 | 482 | 111 | 56% | 176 | 17% | 193 | 18% | 64 | 6% |
| Grand Total | 19298 | 6750 | 2071 | 46% | 4128 | 21% | 3948 | 20% | 570 | 3% |

** Data from Daman-Diu & Dadra Nagar Haveli is included in Gujarat; Data from Lakshadweep is included in Kerala.

These numbers are NOT from the same cohort of patients from which MDR diagnosed are reported, but rather from treatment initiation registers only. The current PMDT information system does not allow for cohort-based reporting of MDR TB suspects, hence this should not yet be taken as a proportion of MDR TB diagnosed and used as an indicator for efficiency of initiation on treatment. Future versions of the PMDT reporting system will be based on cohorts of patients tested in laboratories, and will be used for monitoring of timeliness and efficiency of diagnosis and initiation on treatment.



Table - 10

RNTCP Case Notification from private sector District wise (2015)

| State/UT | District | Number of patients | Number of patients | Number of patients | Total No of TB patients |
|---------------------------|-----------------|---|--|---|--|
| | | notified by (Laboratories - Private) | notified by (Single clinic - Private) | notified by (Multi-clinic - Private) | notification by private sector ² |
| Andaman & Nicobar Islands | Port Blair | 0 | 0 | 21 | 21 |
| Andaman & Nicobar Islands | Mayabunder | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Andaman & Nicobar Islands | Nicobar | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Andhra Pradesh | Anantapur | 19 | 279 | 956 | 1254 |
| Andhra Pradesh | Chittoor | 0 | 103 | 9 | 112 |
| Andhra Pradesh | Cuddapah | 0 | 272 | 93 | 365 |
| Andhra Pradesh | East Godavari | 0 | 529 | 179 | 708 |
| Andhra Pradesh | Guntur | 0 | 293 | 224 | 517 |
| Andhra Pradesh | Krishna | 0 | 171 | 431 | 602 |
| Andhra Pradesh | Kurnool | 0 | 355 | 797 | 1152 |
| Andhra Pradesh | Nellore | 1 | 6 | 71 | 78 |
| Andhra Pradesh | Srikakulam | 0 | 75 | 22 | 97 |
| Andhra Pradesh | Prakasam | 18 | 98 | 619 | 735 |
| Andhra Pradesh | Visakhapatnam | 28 | 304 | 700 | 1032 |
| Andhra Pradesh | Vizianagaram | 0 | 210 | 67 | 277 |
| Andhra Pradesh | West Godavari | 0 | 1 | 213 | 214 |
| Arunachal Pradesh | Changlang | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Arunachal Pradesh | Dibang Valley | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Arunachal Pradesh | East Kameng | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Arunachal Pradesh | East Siang | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Arunachal Pradesh | Kurung Kumey | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Arunachal Pradesh | Lohit | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Arunachal Pradesh | Lower Subansiri | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Arunachal Pradesh | Papum Pare | 0 | 0 | 31 | 31 |
| Arunachal Pradesh | Tawang | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Arunachal Pradesh | Tirap | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Arunachal Pradesh | Upper Siang | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Arunachal Pradesh | Upper Subansiri | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Arunachal Pradesh | West Kameng | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Arunachal Pradesh | West Siang | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Assam | Baksa | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Assam | Barpeta | 0 | 250 | 0 | 250 |
| Assam | Bongaigaon | 36 | 45 | 81 | 162 |
| Assam | Cachar | 0 | 6 | 35 | 41 |
| Assam | Chirang | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| Assam | Darrang | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Assam | Dhemaji | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Assam | Dhubri | 0 | 9 | 0 | 9 |

| State/UT | District | Number of patients notified by (Laboratories - Private) | Number of patients notified by (Single clinic - Private) | Number of patients notified by (Multi-clinic - Private) | Total No of TB patients notification by private sector ² |
|----------|--------------------|---|--|---|---|
| Assam | Dibrugarh | 258 | 1 | 151 | 410 |
| Assam | Goalpara | 0 | 67 | 70 | 137 |
| Assam | Golaghat | 0 | 42 | 1 | 43 |
| Assam | Hailakandi | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Assam | Jorhat | 0 | 0 | 83 | 83 |
| Assam | Kamrup | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Assam | Kamrup Metro | 0 | 0 | 47 | 47 |
| Assam | Karbi Anglong | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Assam | Karimganj | 0 | 22 | 0 | 22 |
| Assam | Kokrajhar | 0 | 21 | 0 | 21 |
| Assam | Lakhimpur | 0 | 79 | 6 | 85 |
| Assam | Marigaon | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Assam | Nagaon | 0 | 17 | 40 | 57 |
| Assam | Nalbari | 0 | 100 | 54 | 154 |
| Assam | North Cachar Hills | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Assam | Sibsagar | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Assam | Sonitpur | 5 | 100 | 46 | 151 |
| Assam | Tinsukia | 79 | 128 | 84 | 291 |
| Assam | Udalguri | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Bihar | Araria | 0 | 401 | 33 | 434 |
| Bihar | Arwal | 0 | 55 | 0 | 55 |
| Bihar | Aurangabad-BI | 0 | 412 | 0 | 412 |
| Bihar | Banka | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Bihar | Begusarai | 0 | 223 | 32 | 255 |
| Bihar | Bhagalpur | 1 | 283 | 2 | 286 |
| Bihar | Bhojpur | 1 | 238 | 0 | 239 |
| Bihar | Buxar | 0 | 32 | 0 | 32 |
| Bihar | Darbhanga | 0 | 821 | 6 | 827 |
| Bihar | Gaya | 0 | 886 | 42 | 928 |
| Bihar | Gopalganj | 0 | 590 | 0 | 590 |
| Bihar | Jamui | 0 | 105 | 0 | 105 |
| Bihar | Jehanabad | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Bihar | Kaimur | 0 | 52 | 41 | 93 |
| Bihar | Katihar | 0 | 125 | 0 | 125 |
| Bihar | Khagaria | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Bihar | Kishanganj | 0 | 268 | 0 | 268 |
| Bihar | Lakhisarai | 0 | 202 | 0 | 202 |
| Bihar | Madhepura | 0 | 34 | 0 | 34 |

116 TB INDIA 2016

| State/UT | District | Number of patients notified by (Laboratories | Number of patients notified by (Single clinic | Number of patients notified by (Multi-clinic | Total No of TB patients notification by private |
|--------------|----------------------|---|--|---|---|
| | | - Private) | - Private) | - Private) | sector ² |
| Bihar | Madhubani | 0 | 132 | 0 | 132 |
| Bihar | Munger | 0 | 419 | 39 | 458 |
| Bihar | Muzaffarpur | 0 | 20 | 1 | 21 |
| Bihar | Nalanda | 0 | 166 | 18 | 184 |
| Bihar | Nawada | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Bihar | Paschim Champaran | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Bihar | Patna | 47 | 9101 | 227 | 9375 |
| Bihar | Purba Champaran | 0 | 28 | 63 | 91 |
| Bihar | Purnia | 23 | 222 | 0 | 245 |
| Bihar | Rohtas | 0 | 51 | 157 | 208 |
| Bihar | Saharsa | 0 | 11 | 0 | 11 |
| Bihar | Samastipur | 0 | 46 | 0 | 46 |
| Bihar | Saran | 0 | 341 | 4 | 345 |
| Bihar | Sheikhpura | 0 | 199 | 0 | 199 |
| Bihar | Sheohar | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Bihar | Sitamarhi | 0 | 246 | 8 | 254 |
| Bihar | Siwan | 0 | 261 | 0 | 261 |
| Bihar | Supaul | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Bihar | Vaishali | 0 | 88 | 0 | 88 |
| Chandigarh | Chandigarh | 138 | 39 | 0 | 177 |
| Chhattisgarh | Balarampur | 0 | 3 | 0 | 3 |
| Chhattisgarh | Balod | 0 | 97 | 3 | 100 |
| Chhattisgarh | Bijapur | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Chhattisgarh | Baloda Bazar | 0 | 55 | 252 | 307 |
| Chhattisgarh | Bastar | 31 | 17 | 72 | 120 |
| Chhattisgarh | Bemetara | 0 | 188 | 133 | 321 |
| Chhattisgarh | Bilaspur-CG | 488 | 556 | 777 | 1821 |
| Chhattisgarh | Dantewada | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Chhattisgarh | Dhamtari | 109 | 256 | 191 | 556 |
| Chhattisgarh | Durg | 0 | 253 | 230 | 483 |
| Chhattisgarh | Gariyaband | 0 | 10 | 32 | 42 |
| Chhattisgarh | Janjgir | 0 | 41 | 402 | 443 |
| Chhattisgarh | Jashpur | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Chhattisgarh | Kabirdham (Kawardha) | 0 | 13 | 8 | 21 |
| Chhattisgarh | Korba | 0 | 30 | 67 | 97 |
| Chhattisgarh | Koriya | 0 | 48 | 56 | 104 |
| Chhattisgarh | Kondagaon | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

| State/UT | District | Number of patients notified by (Laboratories - Private) | Number of patients notified by (Single clinic - Private) | Number of patients notified by (Multi-clinic - Private) | Total No of TB patients notification by private sector ² |
|----------------------|-----------------------|---|--|---|---|
| Chhattisgarh | Mahasamund | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Chhattisgarh | Mungeli | 0 | 0 | 56 | 56 |
| Chhattisgarh | Narayanpur | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Chhattisgarh | Raigarh-CG | 134 | 94 | 22 | 250 |
| Chhattisgarh | Raipur | 53 | 104 | 156 | 313 |
| Chhattisgarh | Rajnandgaon | 17 | 66 | 133 | 216 |
| Chhattisgarh | Sukma | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Chhattisgarh | Surajpur | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Chhattisgarh | Sarguja | 0 | 5 | 36 | 41 |
| Chhattisgarh | Uttar Bastar Kanker | 0 | 0 | 95 | 95 |
| Dadra & Nagar Haveli | Dadra & Nagar Haveli | 0 | 0 | 66 | 66 |
| Daman & Diu | Daman | 0 | 28 | 102 | 130 |
| Daman & Diu | Diu | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Delhi | Bijwasan | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Delhi | BSA Chest Clinic | 0 | 22 | 11 | 33 |
| Delhi | BJRM Chest Clinic | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Delhi | CD Chest Clinic | 1053 | 1 | 2 | 1056 |
| Delhi | DDU Chest Clinic | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Delhi | GTB Chest Clinic | 0 | 0 | 48 | 48 |
| Delhi | Gulabi Bagh | 1 | 3 | 0 | 4 |
| Delhi | Jhandewalan | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Delhi | Hedgewar Chest Clinic | 0 | 0 | 42 | 42 |
| Delhi | Karawal Nagar | 0 | 0 | 109 | 109 |
| Delhi | Kingsway Camp | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Delhi | LN Chest Clinic | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| Delhi | MNCH Chest Clinic | 0 | 0 | 456 | 456 |
| Delhi | LRS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Delhi | Moti Nagar | 0 | 657 | 8 | 665 |
| Delhi | Narela | 0 | 0 | 14 | 14 |
| Delhi | NDMC | 287 | 0 | 0 | 287 |
| Delhi | Nehru Nagar | 178 | 0 | 124 | 302 |
| Delhi | Patparganj | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| Delhi | RK Mission | 106 | 0 | 914 | 1020 |
| Delhi | RTRM Chest Clinic | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| Delhi | SGM Chest Clinic | 0 | 5 | 0 | 5 |
| Delhi | SPM Marg | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Delhi | Shahdra | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Delhi | SPMH Chest Clinic | 0 | 0 | 4 | 4 |

| State/UT | District | Number of patients notified by (Laboratories - Private) | Number of patients notified by (Single clinic - Private) | Number of patients notified by (Multi-clinic - Private) | Total No of TB patients notification by private sector ² |
|----------|----------------------|---|--|---|---|
| Goa | North Goa | 7 | 160 | 27 | 194 |
| Goa | South Goa | 7 | 71 | 22 | 100 |
| Gujarat | Ahmadabad | 6 | 39 | 289 | 334 |
| Gujarat | Ahmadabad MC | 202 | 402 | 692 | 1296 |
| Gujarat | Amreli | 0 | 328 | 183 | 511 |
| Gujarat | Anand | 6 | 510 | 236 | 752 |
| Gujarat | Arvalli | 0 | 845 | 0 | 845 |
| Gujarat | Banaskantha | 0 | 452 | 519 | 971 |
| Gujarat | Bharuch | 8 | 114 | 243 | 365 |
| Gujarat | Bhavnagar | 3 | 800 | 359 | 1162 |
| Gujarat | Botad | 0 | 70 | 55 | 125 |
| Gujarat | Chhota Udepur | 0 | 47 | 178 | 225 |
| Gujarat | Dahod | 1 | 518 | 781 | 1300 |
| Gujarat | Devbhumi dwarka | 0 | 37 | 48 | 85 |
| Gujarat | Gandhinagar | 16 | 476 | 475 | 967 |
| Gujarat | Gir Somnath | 0 | 206 | 226 | 432 |
| Gujarat | Jamnagar | 0 | 221 | 166 | 387 |
| Gujarat | Junagadh | 0 | 596 | 21 | 617 |
| Gujarat | Kachchh | 0 | 465 | 43 | 508 |
| Gujarat | Kheda | 2 | 230 | 516 | 748 |
| Gujarat | Mahesana | 1 | 583 | 2275 | 2859 |
| Gujarat | Mahisagar | 0 | 413 | 144 | 557 |
| Gujarat | Morbi | 59 | 362 | 313 | 734 |
| Gujarat | Narmada | 0 | 3 | 594 | 597 |
| Gujarat | Navsari | 1 | 142 | 149 | 292 |
| Gujarat | Panch Mahals | 0 | 580 | 324 | 904 |
| Gujarat | Patan | 0 | 440 | 9 | 449 |
| Gujarat | Porbandar | 12 | 141 | 34 | 187 |
| Gujarat | Rajkot | 205 | 1745 | 140 | 2090 |
| Gujarat | Sabarkantha | 0 | 1094 | 112 | 1206 |
| Gujarat | Surat | 48 | 109 | 413 | 570 |
| Gujarat | Surat Municipal Corp | 99 | 1171 | 1905 | 3175 |
| Gujarat | Surendranagar | 12 | 490 | 279 | 781 |
| Gujarat | The Dangs | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Gujarat | Vadodara | 0 | 45 | 1 | 46 |
| Gujarat | Vadodara Corp | 265 | 223 | 71 | 559 |
| Gujarat | Valsad | 2 | 218 | 359 | 579 |
| Gujarat | Vyara (Surat) | 0 | 3 | 25 | 28 |

| State/UT | District | Number of patients notified by (Laboratories | Number of patients notified by (Single clinic | Number of patients notified by (Multi-clinic | Total No of TB patients notification by private |
|------------------|---------------|--|--|---|---|
| | | - Private) | - Private) | - Private) | sector ² |
| Haryana | Ambala | 0 | 3 | 135 | 138 |
| Haryana | Bhiwani | 0 | 0 | 521 | 521 |
| Haryana | Faridabad | 0 | 3 | 55 | 58 |
| Haryana | Fatehabad | 1 | 0 | 47 | 48 |
| Haryana | Gurgaon | 743 | 13 | 419 | 1175 |
| Haryana | Hisar | 0 | 75 | 56 | 131 |
| Haryana | Jhajjar | 15 | 11 | 28 | 54 |
| Haryana | Jind | 0 | 1 | 293 | 294 |
| Haryana | Kaithal | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Haryana | Karnal | 2 | 8 | 236 | 246 |
| Haryana | Kurukshetra | 0 | 33 | 0 | 33 |
| Haryana | Mahendragarh | 0 | 3 | 243 | 246 |
| Haryana | Mewat | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Haryana | Palwal | 0 | 56 | 39 | 95 |
| Haryana | Panchkula | 1 | 14 | 66 | 81 |
| Haryana | Panipat | 0 | 0 | 121 | 121 |
| Haryana | Rewari | 0 | 253 | 91 | 344 |
| Haryana | Rohtak | 0 | 31 | 36 | 67 |
| Haryana | Sirsa | 0 | 65 | 71 | 136 |
| Haryana | Sonipat | 0 | 15 | 164 | 179 |
| Haryana | Yamunanagar | 0 | 46 | 44 | 90 |
| Himachal Pradesh | Bilaspur (HP) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Himachal Pradesh | Chamba | 0 | 5 | 2 | 7 |
| Himachal Pradesh | Hamirpur-HP | 0 | 13 | 4 | 17 |
| Himachal Pradesh | Kangra | 0 | 44 | 136 | 180 |
| Himachal Pradesh | Kinnaur | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Himachal Pradesh | Kullu | 0 | 4 | 92 | 96 |
| Himachal Pradesh | Lahul & Spiti | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Himachal Pradesh | Mandi | 12 | 3 | 166 | 181 |
| Himachal Pradesh | Shimla | 0 | 18 | 105 | 123 |
| Himachal Pradesh | Sirmaur | 0 | 9 | 0 | 9 |
| Himachal Pradesh | Una | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Himachal Pradesh | Solan | 0 | 19 | 0 | 19 |
| Jammu & Kashmir | Anantnag | 0 | 28 | 0 | 28 |
| Jammu & Kashmir | Badgam | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Jammu & Kashmir | Baramula | 0 | 16 | 0 | 16 |
| Jammu & Kashmir | Doda | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

| State/UT | District | Number of patients notified by (Laboratories - Private) | Number of patients notified by (Single clinic - Private) | Number of patients notified by (Multi-clinic - Private) | Total No of TB patients notification by private sector ² |
|-----------------|---------------------|---|--|---|---|
| Jammu & Kashmir | Jammu | 0 | 40 | 0 | 40 |
| Jammu & Kashmir | Kargil | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Jammu & Kashmir | Kathua | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Jammu & Kashmir | Kupwara | 0 | 51 | 1 | 52 |
| Jammu & Kashmir | Leh (Ladakh) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Jammu & Kashmir | Poonch | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Jammu & Kashmir | Udhampur | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Jammu & Kashmir | Rajouri | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Jammu & Kashmir | Srinagar | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Jammu & Kashmir | Pulwama | 9 | 28 | 0 | 37 |
| Jharkhand | Bokaro | 0 | 5 | 0 | 5 |
| Jharkhand | Chatra | 0 | 25 | 0 | 25 |
| Jharkhand | Deoghar | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Jharkhand | Dhanbad | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Jharkhand | Dumka | 0 | 209 | 11 | 220 |
| Jharkhand | Garhwa | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Jharkhand | Giridih | 0 | 3 | 0 | 3 |
| Jharkhand | Godda | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Jharkhand | Gumla | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Jharkhand | Hazaribagh | 7 | 82 | 33 | 122 |
| Jharkhand | Jamtara | 0 | 11 | 0 | 11 |
| Jharkhand | Khunti | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| Jharkhand | Kodarma | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Jharkhand | Lathehar | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Jharkhand | Lohardaga | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Jharkhand | Pakaur | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Jharkhand | Palamu | 0 | 24 | 0 | 24 |
| Jharkhand | Pashchimi Singhbhum | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Jharkhand | Purbi Singhbhum | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Jharkhand | Ramgarh | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Jharkhand | Ranchi | 0 | 104 | 530 | 634 |
| Jharkhand | Sahibganj | 0 | 50 | 0 | 50 |
| Jharkhand | Saraikela-Kharsawan | 0 | 97 | 0 | 97 |
| Jharkhand | Simdega | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| Karnataka | Bagalkot | 0 | 14 | 3 | 17 |
| Karnataka | Bangalore City | 6 | 9 | 646 | 661 |
| Karnataka | Bangalore Rural | 0 | 4 | 11 | 15 |
| Karnataka | Bangalore Urban | 0 | 1 | 80 | 81 |

| State/UT | District | Number of patients notified by (Laboratories | Number of patients notified by (Single clinic | Number of patients notified by (Multi-clinic | Total No of TB patients notification by private |
|-----------|------------------|---|--|---|---|
| | | - Private) | - Private) | - Private) | sector ² |
| Karnataka | Belgaum | 0 | 304 | 158 | 462 |
| Karnataka | Bellary | 0 | 7 | 178 | 185 |
| Karnataka | Bidar | 5 | 32 | 25 | 62 |
| Karnataka | Bijapur | 0 | 26 | 172 | 198 |
| Karnataka | Chamarajanagar | 0 | 13 | 15 | 28 |
| Karnataka | Chikkaballapur | 0 | 2 | 12 | 14 |
| Karnataka | Chikmagalur | 5 | 2 | 0 | 7 |
| Karnataka | Chitradurga | 13 | 12 | 50 | 75 |
| Karnataka | Dakshina Kannada | 0 | 9 | 103 | 112 |
| Karnataka | Davanagere | 0 | 2 | 153 | 155 |
| Karnataka | Dharwad | 0 | 21 | 5 | 26 |
| Karnataka | Gadag | 37 | 295 | 112 | 444 |
| Karnataka | Gulbarga | 0 | 53 | 56 | 109 |
| Karnataka | Hassan | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 |
| Karnataka | Haveri | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Karnataka | Kodagu | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Karnataka | Kolar | 0 | 6 | 6 | 12 |
| Karnataka | Koppal | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Karnataka | Mandya | 115 | 0 | 81 | 196 |
| Karnataka | Mysore | 0 | 0 | 114 | 114 |
| Karnataka | Raichur | 94 | 347 | 74 | 515 |
| Karnataka | Ramanagara | 0 | 6 | 0 | 6 |
| Karnataka | Shimoga | 61 | 51 | 119 | 231 |
| Karnataka | Tumkur | 0 | 81 | 28 | 109 |
| Karnataka | Udupi | 159 | 0 | 462 | 621 |
| Karnataka | Uttara Kannada | 0 | 1 | 14 | 15 |
| Karnataka | Yadgiri | 0 | 176 | 104 | 280 |
| Kerala | Alappuzha | 2 | 35 | 754 | 791 |
| Kerala | Ernakulam | 0 | 9 | 856 | 865 |
| Kerala | Idukki | 0 | 9 | 83 | 92 |
| Kerala | Kannur | 0 | 142 | 351 | 493 |
| Kerala | Kasaragod | 16 | 36 | 54 | 106 |
| Kerala | Kollam | 13 | 46 | 561 | 620 |
| Kerala | Kottayam | 12 | 122 | 343 | 477 |
| Kerala | Kozhikode | 0 | 39 | 138 | 177 |
| Kerala | Malappuram | 10 | 60 | 261 | 331 |
| Kerala | Palakkad | 0 | 0 | 125 | 125 |

| State/UT | District | Number of patients notified by (Laboratories - Private) | Number of patients notified by (Single clinic - Private) | Number of patients notified by (Multi-clinic - Private) | Total No of TB patients notification by private sector ² |
|----------------|--------------------|---|--|---|---|
| Kerala | Pathanamthitta | 0 | 1 | 352 | 353 |
| Kerala | Thiruvananthapuram | 5 | 522 | 708 | 1235 |
| Kerala | Thrissur | 88 | 256 | 578 | 922 |
| Kerala | Wayanad | 0 | 59 | 98 | 157 |
| Lakshwadeep | Lakshwadeep | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Madhya Pradesh | Alirajpur | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Madhya Pradesh | Anuppur | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Madhya Pradesh | Ashoknagar | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Madhya Pradesh | Balaghat | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Madhya Pradesh | Barwani | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| Madhya Pradesh | Betul | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Madhya Pradesh | Bhind | 0 | 69 | 0 | 69 |
| Madhya Pradesh | Bhopal | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| Madhya Pradesh | Burhanpur | 3 | 97 | 64 | 164 |
| Madhya Pradesh | Chhatarpur | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Madhya Pradesh | Chhindwara | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Madhya Pradesh | Damoh | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Madhya Pradesh | Datia | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Madhya Pradesh | Dewas | 278 | 100 | 175 | 553 |
| Madhya Pradesh | Dhar | 0 | 57 | 975 | 1032 |
| Madhya Pradesh | Dindori | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Madhya Pradesh | Guna | 0 | 68 | 0 | 68 |
| Madhya Pradesh | Gwalior | 1 | 9 | 0 | 10 |
| Madhya Pradesh | Harda | 4 | 187 | 129 | 320 |
| Madhya Pradesh | Hoshangabad | 0 | 60 | 140 | 200 |
| Madhya Pradesh | Indore | 108 | 681 | 671 | 1460 |
| Madhya Pradesh | Jabalpur | 116 | 165 | 94 | 375 |
| Madhya Pradesh | Jhabua | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Madhya Pradesh | Katni | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Madhya Pradesh | Khandwa | 2 | 160 | 114 | 276 |
| Madhya Pradesh | Khargone | 76 | 178 | 71 | 325 |
| Madhya Pradesh | Mandla | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Madhya Pradesh | Mandsaur | 16 | 282 | 110 | 408 |
| Madhya Pradesh | Morena | 0 | 11 | 967 | 978 |
| Madhya Pradesh | Narsinghpur | 0 | 77 | 2 | 79 |
| Madhya Pradesh | Neemuch | 41 | 762 | 130 | 933 |
| Madhya Pradesh | Panna | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

| State/UT | District | Number of patients notified by (Laboratories | Number of patients notified by (Single clinic | Number of patients notified by (Multi-clinic | Total No of TB patients notification by private |
|----------------|-------------------|---|--|---|---|
| | | - Private) | - Private) | - Private) | sector ² |
| Madhya Pradesh | Raisen | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Madhya Pradesh | Rajgarh | 0 | 1 | 43 | 44 |
| Madhya Pradesh | Ratlam | 0 | 242 | 46 | 288 |
| Madhya Pradesh | Rewa | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Madhya Pradesh | Sagar | 0 | 15 | 78 | 93 |
| Madhya Pradesh | Satna | 0 | 88 | 1 | 89 |
| Madhya Pradesh | Sehore | 0 | 54 | 0 | 54 |
| Madhya Pradesh | Seoni | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Madhya Pradesh | Shahdol | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Madhya Pradesh | Shajapur | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Madhya Pradesh | Sheopur | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Madhya Pradesh | Shivpuri | 24 | 9 | 0 | 33 |
| Madhya Pradesh | Sidhi | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Madhya Pradesh | Singrauli | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Madhya Pradesh | Tikamgarh | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Madhya Pradesh | Ujjain | 0 | 68 | 284 | 352 |
| Madhya Pradesh | Umaria | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Madhya Pradesh | Vidisha | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Maharashtra | Ahmadnagar | 0 | 155 | 60 | 215 |
| Maharashtra | Ahmednagar MC | 0 | 17 | 441 | 458 |
| Maharashtra | Akola | 2 | 56 | 33 | 91 |
| Maharashtra | Akola MC | 22 | 57 | 347 | 426 |
| Maharashtra | Amravati | 0 | 60 | 92 | 152 |
| Maharashtra | Amravati MC | 0 | 8 | 173 | 181 |
| Maharashtra | Andheri East | 10 | 149 | 502 | 661 |
| Maharashtra | Andheri West | 261 | 32 | 826 | 1119 |
| Maharashtra | Aurangabad MC | 100 | 250 | 501 | 851 |
| Maharashtra | Aurangabad-MH | 2 | 8 | 0 | 10 |
| Maharashtra | Bail Bazar Road | 2005 | 73 | 393 | 2471 |
| Maharashtra | Bandra East | 106 | 144 | 198 | 448 |
| Maharashtra | Bandra West | 0 | 44 | 278 | 322 |
| Maharashtra | Bhandara | 0 | 58 | 91 | 149 |
| Maharashtra | Bhiwandi Nizampur | 0 | 5 | 70 | 75 |
| Maharashtra | Bid | 0 | 138 | 413 | 551 |
| Maharashtra | Borivali | 31 | 96 | 109 | 236 |
| Maharashtra | Buldana | 8 | 541 | 150 | 699 |
| Maharashtra | Byculla | 114 | 3 | 37 | 154 |

| State/UT | District | Number of patients notified by (Laboratories | Number of patients notified by (Single clinic | Number of patients notified by (Multi-clinic | Total No of TB patients notification by private |
|-------------|----------------------|--|--|--|---|
| | | - Private) | - Private) | - Private) | sector ² |
| Maharashtra | Centenary | 0 | 161 | 8 | 169 |
| Maharashtra | Chandrapur | 0 | 85 | 221 | 306 |
| Maharashtra | Chembur | 0 | 126 | 437 | 563 |
| Maharashtra | Colaba | 0 | 0 | 453 | 453 |
| Maharashtra | Dadar | 12557 | 452 | 246 | 13255 |
| Maharashtra | Dahisar | 715 | 25 | 87 | 827 |
| Maharashtra | Dhule | 0 | 113 | 17 | 130 |
| Maharashtra | Dhule MC | 0 | 109 | 90 | 199 |
| Maharashtra | Gadchiroli | 0 | 11 | 14 | 25 |
| Maharashtra | Ghatkopar | 9 | 460 | 1242 | 1711 |
| Maharashtra | Gondiya | 0 | 772 | 408 | 1180 |
| Maharashtra | Goregaon | 1463 | 341 | 69 | 1873 |
| Maharashtra | Govandi | 0 | 686 | 277 | 963 |
| Maharashtra | Grant Road | 0 | 483 | 48 | 531 |
| Maharashtra | Hingoli | 19 | 62 | 2 | 83 |
| Maharashtra | Jalgaon | 0 | 224 | 445 | 669 |
| Maharashtra | Jalgaon MC | 22 | 174 | 43 | 239 |
| Maharashtra | Jalna | 5 | 228 | 206 | 439 |
| Maharashtra | Kalyan Dombivli MC | 0 | 5 | 165 | 170 |
| Maharashtra | Kandivali | 32 | 4 | 30 | 66 |
| Maharashtra | Kolhapur | 5 | 245 | 161 | 411 |
| Maharashtra | Kolhapur MC | 225 | 489 | 237 | 951 |
| Maharashtra | Kurla | 9 | 407 | 337 | 753 |
| Maharashtra | Latur | 0 | 121 | 153 | 274 |
| Maharashtra | Malad | 262 | 871 | 270 | 1403 |
| Maharashtra | Malegoan Corporation | 0 | 72 | 494 | 566 |
| Maharashtra | Mira Bhayander | 8 | 33 | 77 | 118 |
| Maharashtra | Mulund | 34 | 403 | 41 | 478 |
| Maharashtra | Nagpur | 0 | 57 | 2 | 59 |
| Maharashtra | Nagpur MC | 91 | 270 | 278 | 639 |
| Maharashtra | Nanded | 0 | 120 | 74 | 194 |
| Maharashtra | Nanded Waghela MC | 0 | 1398 | 288 | 1686 |
| Maharashtra | Nandurbar | 87 | 614 | 146 | 847 |
| Maharashtra | Nashik | 3 | 106 | 54 | 163 |
| Maharashtra | Nashik Corp | 0 | 14 | 171 | 185 |
| Maharashtra | Navi Mumbai | 229 | 37 | 268 | 534 |
| Maharashtra | Osmanabad | 8 | 29 | 233 | 270 |

| State/UT | District | Number of patients | Number of patients notified by (Single clinic | Number of patients | Total No of TB patients |
|-------------|---------------------|---|--|---|--|
| | | notified by (Laboratories - Private) | - Private) | notified by (Multi-clinic - Private) | notification by private sector ² |
| Maharashtra | Parbhani | 0 | 208 | 1 | 209 |
| Maharashtra | Parel | 0 | 86 | 0 | 86 |
| Maharashtra | Pimpri Chinchwad | 105 | 256 | 323 | 684 |
| Maharashtra | Prabhadevi | 3 | 105 | 0 | 108 |
| Maharashtra | Pune | 281 | 43 | 489 | 813 |
| Maharashtra | Pune Rural | 63 | 532 | 618 | 1213 |
| Maharashtra | Raigarh-MH | 0 | 59 | 64 | 123 |
| Maharashtra | Ratnagiri | 1 | 29 | 152 | 182 |
| Maharashtra | Sangli | 36 | 429 | 109 | 574 |
| Maharashtra | Sangli MC | 17 | 58 | 24 | 99 |
| Maharashtra | Satara | 12 | 792 | 1707 | 2511 |
| Maharashtra | Sindhudurg | 0 | 6 | 57 | 63 |
| Maharashtra | Sion | 0 | 68 | 354 | 422 |
| Maharashtra | Solapur | 0 | 542 | 14 | 556 |
| Maharashtra | Solapur MC | 0 | 142 | 0 | 142 |
| Maharashtra | Thane | 0 | 74 | 251 | 325 |
| Maharashtra | Thane MC | 3 | 0 | 46 | 49 |
| Maharashtra | Ulhasnagar MC | 0 | 30 | 94 | 124 |
| Maharashtra | Vasai Virar City MC | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Maharashtra | Vikhroli | 170 | 17 | 414 | 601 |
| Maharashtra | Wardha | 0 | 49 | 69 | 118 |
| Maharashtra | Washim | 1 | 23 | 260 | 284 |
| Maharashtra | Yavatmal | 0 | 96 | 67 | 163 |
| Manipur | Bishnupur | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Manipur | Chandel | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Manipur | Churachandpur | 0 | 0 | 353 | 353 |
| Manipur | Imphal East | 0 | 0 | 16 | 16 |
| Manipur | Imphal West | 102 | 0 | 10 | 112 |
| Manipur | Senapati | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Manipur | Tamenglong | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Manipur | Thoubal | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Manipur | Ukhrul | 0 | 0 | 8 | 8 |
| Meghalaya | East Garo Hills | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Meghalaya | East Khasi Hills | 0 | 189 | 506 | 695 |
| Meghalaya | Jaintia Hills | 0 | 147 | 0 | 147 |
| Meghalaya | Ri Bhoi | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Meghalaya | South Garo Hills | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |

| State/UT | District | Number of patients notified by (Laboratories - Private) | Number of patients notified by (Single clinic - Private) | Number of patients notified by (Multi-clinic - Private) | Total No of TB patients notification by private sector ² |
|-----------|------------------|---|--|---|---|
| Meghalaya | West Garo Hills | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Meghalaya | West Khasi Hills | 0 | 51 | 0 | 51 |
| Mizoram | Aizawl | 0 | 0 | 51 | 51 |
| Mizoram | Champhai | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Mizoram | Kolasib | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Mizoram | Lawngtlai | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Mizoram | Lunglei | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Mizoram | Mamit | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Mizoram | Saiha | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Mizoram | Serchhip | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Nagaland | Dimapur | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Nagaland | Kiphire | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Nagaland | Kohima | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Nagaland | Longleng | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Nagaland | Mokokchung | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Nagaland | Mon | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Nagaland | Peren | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Nagaland | Phek | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Nagaland | Tuensang | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Nagaland | Wokha | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Nagaland | Zunheboto | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Odisha | Anugul | 0 | 9 | 3 | 12 |
| Odisha | Balangir | 0 | 30 | 27 | 57 |
| Odisha | Baleshwar | 0 | 118 | 110 | 228 |
| Odisha | Bargarh | 0 | 74 | 0 | 74 |
| Odisha | Bhadrak | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Odisha | Bhubaneshwar MC | 0 | 0 | 34 | 34 |
| Odisha | Boudh | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Odisha | Cuttack | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Odisha | Debagarh | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Odisha | Dhenkanal | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| Odisha | Gajapati | 0 | 0 | 8 | 8 |
| Odisha | Ganjam | 0 | 54 | 1 | 55 |
| Odisha | Jagatsinghapur | 0 | 13 | 3 | 16 |
| Odisha | Jajapur | 0 | 41 | 0 | 41 |
| Odisha | Jharsuguda | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| Odisha | Kalahandi | 0 | 5 | 70 | 75 |

| State/UT | District | Number of patients notified by (Laboratories | Number of patients notified by (Single clinic | Number of patients notified by (Multi-clinic | Total No of TB patients notification by private |
|-------------|-----------------|---|--|---|--|
| Orissa | Kandhamal | - Private) 0 | - Private) 0 | - Private) 0 | sector ² 0 |
| Orissa | Kendrapara | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | | | | | |
| Orissa | Kendujhar | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Orissa | Khordha | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Orissa | Koraput | 0 | 28 | 0 | 28 |
| Odisha | Malkangiri | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Odisha | Mayurbhanj | 0 | 0 | 19 | 19 |
| Odisha | Nabarangapur | 0 | 0 | 26 | 26 |
| Orissa | Nayagarh | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Orissa | Nuapada | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Orissa | Puri | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Orissa | Rayagada | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Odisha | Sambalpur | 0 | 35 | 16 | 51 |
| Odisha | Sonapur | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| Odisha | Sundargarh | 0 | 84 | 1 | 85 |
| Puducherry | Puducherry | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| Punjab | Amritsar | 30 | 62 | 78 | 170 |
| Punjab | Barnala | 23 | 0 | 6 | 29 |
| Punjab | Bathinda | 67 | 8 | 144 | 219 |
| Punjab | Faridkot | 2 | 4 | 4 | 10 |
| Punjab | Fatehgarh Sahib | 0 | 0 | 8 | 8 |
| Punjab | Fazilka | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Punjab | Firozpur | 0 | 0 | 17 | 17 |
| Punjab | Gurdaspur | 0 | 150 | 6 | 156 |
| Punjab | Hoshiarpur | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| Punjab | Jalandhar | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| Punjab | Kapurthala | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Punjab | Ludhiana | 1 | 7 | 8 | 16 |
| Punjab | Mansa-PN | 0 | 27 | 21 | 48 |
| Punjab | Moga | 0 | 10 | 20 | 30 |
| Punjab | Mohali | 0 | 8 | 38 | 46 |
| Punjab | Muktsar | 0 | 0 | 12 | 12 |
| , Punjab | Nawanshahr | 15 | 1 | 46 | 62 |
| Punjab | Pathankot | 0 | 0 | 44 | 44 |
| Punjab | Patiala | 0 | 24 | 27 | 51 |
| Punjab | Rupnagar | 1 | 4 | 54 | 59 |
| | | 0 | 7 | 0 | 7 |
| Punjab | Sangrur | U | 1 | U | 1 |

| State/UT | District | Number of patients notified by (Laboratories - Private) | Number of patients notified by (Single clinic - Private) | Number of patients notified by (Multi-clinic - Private) | Total No of TB patients notification by private sector ² |
|-----------|----------------|---|--|---|---|
| Punjab | Tarn Taran | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Rajasthan | Ajmer | 1 | 120 | 341 | 462 |
| Rajasthan | Alwar | 0 | 21 | 1035 | 1056 |
| Rajasthan | Banswara | 0 | 69 | 64 | 133 |
| Rajasthan | Baran | 0 | 41 | 86 | 127 |
| Rajasthan | Barmer | 0 | 16 | 57 | 73 |
| Rajasthan | Bharatpur | 21 | 0 | 190 | 211 |
| Rajasthan | Bhilwara | 147 | 302 | 559 | 1008 |
| Rajasthan | Bikaner | 57 | 134 | 5 | 196 |
| Rajasthan | Bundi | 0 | 636 | 8 | 644 |
| Rajasthan | Chittaurgarh | 0 | 252 | 48 | 300 |
| Rajasthan | Churu | 0 | 0 | 6 | 6 |
| Rajasthan | Dausa | 0 | 3 | 109 | 112 |
| Rajasthan | Dhaulpur | 0 | 187 | 0 | 187 |
| Rajasthan | Dungarpur | 0 | 285 | 0 | 285 |
| Rajasthan | Ganganagar | 42 | 11 | 339 | 392 |
| Rajasthan | Hanumangarh | 0 | 2 | 35 | 37 |
| Rajasthan | Jaipur | 0 | 346 | 531 | 877 |
| Rajasthan | Jaipur DTC II | 19 | 300 | 401 | 720 |
| Rajasthan | Jaisalmer | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Rajasthan | Jalore | 0 | 0 | 77 | 77 |
| Rajasthan | Jhalawar | 0 | 0 | 90 | 90 |
| Rajasthan | Jhunjhunun | 0 | 436 | 31 | 467 |
| Rajasthan | Jodhpur | 2 | 14 | 704 | 720 |
| Rajasthan | Karauli | 0 | 102 | 0 | 102 |
| Rajasthan | Kota | 0 | 47 | 52 | 99 |
| Rajasthan | Nagaur | 0 | 0 | 900 | 900 |
| Rajasthan | Pali | 0 | 0 | 144 | 144 |
| Rajasthan | Pratapgarh-RJ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Rajasthan | Rajsamand | 0 | 93 | 156 | 249 |
| Rajasthan | Sawai Madhopur | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Rajasthan | Sikar | 0 | 482 | 632 | 1114 |
| Rajasthan | Sirohi | 0 | 0 | 92 | 92 |
| Rajasthan | Tonk | 0 | 108 | 198 | 306 |
| Rajasthan | Udaipur | 48 | 37 | 465 | 550 |
| Sikkim | East Sikkim | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sikkim | North Sikkim | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

| State/UT | District | Number of patients notified by (Laboratories - Private) | Number of patients notified by (Single clinic - Private) | Number of patients notified by (Multi-clinic - Private) | Total No of TB patients notification by private sector ² |
|------------|------------------|---|--|---|---|
| Sikkim | Singtam | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sikkim | South Sikkim | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sikkim | West Sikkim | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Tamil Nadu | Centeral Chennai | 58 | 9 | 336 | 403 |
| Tamil Nadu | Coimbatore | 107 | 27 | 428 | 562 |
| Tamil Nadu | Cuddalore | 0 | 0 | 89 | 89 |
| Tamil Nadu | Dharmapuri | 0 | 28 | 59 | 87 |
| Tamil Nadu | Dindigul | 0 | 0 | 249 | 249 |
| Tamil Nadu | Erode | 0 | 4 | 65 | 69 |
| Tamil Nadu | Kancheepuram | 0 | 1 | 150 | 151 |
| Tamil Nadu | Kanniyakumari | 24 | 13 | 55 | 92 |
| Tamil Nadu | Karur | 0 | 57 | 2 | 59 |
| Tamil Nadu | Krishnagiri | 0 | 0 | 3 | 3 |
| Tamil Nadu | Madurai | 151 | 333 | 809 | 1293 |
| Tamil Nadu | Nagapattinam | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Tamil Nadu | Namakkal | 7 | 72 | 4 | 83 |
| Tamil Nadu | Chennai | 0 | 104 | 20 | 124 |
| Tamil Nadu | Perambalur | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Tamil Nadu | Pudukottai | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Tamil Nadu | Ramanathapuram | 0 | 67 | 13 | 80 |
| Tamil Nadu | Salem | 0 | 12 | 84 | 96 |
| Tamil Nadu | Sivaganga | 0 | 2 | 33 | 35 |
| Tamil Nadu | South Chennai | 0 | 0 | 99 | 99 |
| Tamil Nadu | Thanjavur | 0 | 5 | 0 | 5 |
| Tamil Nadu | The Nilgiris | 0 | 4 | 3 | 7 |
| Tamil Nadu | Theni | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| Tamil Nadu | Thiruvallur | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| Tamil Nadu | Thiruvarur | 0 | 24 | 0 | 24 |
| Tamil Nadu | Tiruchirappalli | 0 | 135 | 129 | 264 |
| Tamil Nadu | Tirunelveli | 0 | 64 | 28 | 92 |
| Tamil Nadu | Tiruppur | 0 | 57 | 180 | 237 |
| Tamil Nadu | Tiruvannamalai | 0 | 49 | 7 | 56 |
| Tamil Nadu | Thoothukudi | 0 | 23 | 74 | 97 |
| Tamil Nadu | Vellore | 0 | 291 | 1340 | 1631 |
| Tamil Nadu | Viluppuram | 0 | 4 | 10 | 14 |
| Tamil Nadu | Virudhunagar | 0 | 171 | 10 | 181 |
| Telangana | Adilabad | 0 | 1 | 5 | 6 |
| ionangunu | | | | | |

| State/UT | District | Number of patients notified by (Laboratories - Private) | Number of patients notified by (Single clinic - Private) | Number of patients notified by (Multi-clinic - Private) | Total No of TB patients notification by private sector ² |
|---------------|----------------|---|--|---|---|
| Telangana | Bhadrachalam | 0 | 57 | 24 | 81 |
| Telangana | Hyderabad | 0 | 22 | 110 | 132 |
| Telangana | Karimnagar | 0 | 0 | 223 | 223 |
| Telangana | Khammam | 0 | 403 | 161 | 564 |
| Telangana | Mahbubnagar | 0 | 10 | 3 | 13 |
| Telangana | Medak | 0 | 22 | 29 | 51 |
| Telangana | Nalgonda | 0 | 0 | 48 | 48 |
| Telangana | Nizamabad | 0 | 10 | 163 | 173 |
| Telangana | Rangareddi | 65 | 0 | 78 | 143 |
| Telangana | Warangal | 0 | 5 | 17 | 22 |
| Tripura | Dhalai | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Tripura | Gomati | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Tripura | Khowai | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Tripura | North Tripura | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Tripura | Sepahijala | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Tripura | South Tripura | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Tripura | Unakoti | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Tripura | West Tripura | 0 | 0 | 10 | 10 |
| Uttar Pradesh | Agra | 0 | 7 | 0 | 7 |
| Uttar Pradesh | Aligarh | 113 | 95 | 78 | 286 |
| Uttar Pradesh | Allahabad | 0 | 0 | 6 | 6 |
| Uttar Pradesh | Ambedkar Nagar | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Uttar Pradesh | Amethi | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Uttar Pradesh | Auraiya | 12 | 42 | 44 | 98 |
| Uttar Pradesh | Azamgarh | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Uttar Pradesh | Baghpat | 0 | 12 | 0 | 12 |
| Uttar Pradesh | Bahraich | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Uttar Pradesh | Ballia | 0 | 72 | 0 | 72 |
| Uttar Pradesh | Balrampur | 0 | 59 | 5 | 64 |
| Uttar Pradesh | Banda | 0 | 62 | 0 | 62 |
| Uttar Pradesh | Barabanki | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Uttar Pradesh | Bareilly | 240 | 816 | 1581 | 2637 |
| Uttar Pradesh | Basti | 0 | 330 | 10 | 340 |
| Uttar Pradesh | Bijnor | 92 | 714 | 693 | 1499 |
| Uttar Pradesh | Bijlion | 0 | 0 | 12 | 1493 |
| Uttar Pradesh | Bulandshahar | 191 | 483 | 205 | 879 |
| Uttar Pradesh | Chandauli | 0 | 38 | 9 | 47 |
| Unai Fiaucoli | onanuaun | U | 30 | 3 | 41 |

| State/UT | District | Number of patients notified by (Laboratories - Private) | Number of patients notified by (Single clinic - Private) | Number of patients notified by (Multi-clinic - Private) | Total No of TB patients notification by private sector ² |
|---------------|---------------------|---|--|---|---|
| Uttar Pradesh | Chitrakoot | 0 | 4 | 2 | 6 |
| Uttar Pradesh | Deoria | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Uttar Pradesh | Etah | 6 | 79 | 223 | 308 |
| Uttar Pradesh | Etawah | 0 | 256 | 72 | 328 |
| Uttar Pradesh | Faizabad | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Uttar Pradesh | Farrukhabad | 0 | 66 | 85 | 151 |
| Uttar Pradesh | Fatehpur | 0 | 0 | 56 | 56 |
| Uttar Pradesh | Firozabad | 0 | 40 | 20 | 60 |
| Uttar Pradesh | Gautam Budh Nagar | 0 | 0 | 36 | 36 |
| Uttar Pradesh | Ghaziabad | 13 | 59 | 193 | 265 |
| Uttar Pradesh | Ghazipur | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Uttar Pradesh | Gonda | 0 | 4 | 55 | 59 |
| Uttar Pradesh | Gorakhpur | 0 | 910 | 0 | 910 |
| Uttar Pradesh | Hamirpur-UP | 0 | 107 | 10 | 117 |
| Uttar Pradesh | Hapur | 0 | 14 | 135 | 149 |
| Uttar Pradesh | Hardoi | 21 | 0 | 86 | 107 |
| Uttar Pradesh | Hathras | 0 | 0 | 235 | 235 |
| Uttar Pradesh | Jalaun | 0 | 680 | 0 | 680 |
| Uttar Pradesh | Jaunpur | 0 | 12 | 0 | 12 |
| Uttar Pradesh | Jhansi | 0 | 4 | 1048 | 1052 |
| Uttar Pradesh | Jyotiba Phule Nagar | 0 | 28 | 0 | 28 |
| Uttar Pradesh | Kannauj | 0 | 10 | 8 | 18 |
| Uttar Pradesh | Kanpur Dehat | 0 | 7 | 6 | 13 |
| Uttar Pradesh | Kanpur Nagar | 141 | 522 | 19 | 682 |
| Uttar Pradesh | Kanshiram Nagar | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Uttar Pradesh | Kaushambi | 0 | 14 | 9 | 23 |
| Uttar Pradesh | Kheri | 0 | 3 | 3 | 6 |
| Uttar Pradesh | Kushinagar | 0 | 198 | 0 | 198 |
| Uttar Pradesh | Lalitpur | 0 | 190 | 451 | 641 |
| Uttar Pradesh | Lucknow | 0 | 102 | 116 | 218 |
| Uttar Pradesh | Maharajganj | 0 | 3 | 0 | 3 |
| Uttar Pradesh | Mahoba | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Uttar Pradesh | Mainpuri | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Uttar Pradesh | Mathura | 0 | 1 | 842 | 843 |
| Uttar Pradesh | Mau | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Uttar Pradesh | Meerut | 0 | 565 | 0 | 565 |
| Uttar Pradesh | Mirzapur | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Uttar Pradesh | Moradabad | 171 | 857 | 494 | 1522 |

| State/UT | District | Number of patients notified by (Laboratories - Private) | Number of patients notified by (Single clinic - Private) | Number of patients notified by (Multi-clinic - Private) | Total No of TB patients notification by private sector ² |
|---------------|--------------------|---|--|---|---|
| Uttar Pradesh | Muzaffarnagar | 0 | 102 | 109 | 211 |
| Uttar Pradesh | Pilibhit | 179 | 489 | 178 | 846 |
| Uttar Pradesh | Pratapgarh | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| Uttar Pradesh | Rae Bareli | 0 | 5 | 27 | 32 |
| Uttar Pradesh | Rampur | 14 | 435 | 45 | 494 |
| Uttar Pradesh | Saharanpur | 44 | 418 | 139 | 601 |
| Uttar Pradesh | Sambhal | 0 | 322 | 10 | 332 |
| Uttar Pradesh | Sant Kabir Nagar | 0 | 0 | 25 | 25 |
| Uttar Pradesh | Sant Ravidas Nagar | 0 | 151 | 10 | 161 |
| Uttar Pradesh | Shahjahanpur | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Uttar Pradesh | Shamli | 4 | 1 | 33 | 38 |
| Uttar Pradesh | Shravasti | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Uttar Pradesh | Siddharthnagar | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Uttar Pradesh | Sitapur | 0 | 351 | 242 | 593 |
| Uttar Pradesh | Sonbhadra | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Uttar Pradesh | Sultanpur | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Uttar Pradesh | Unnao | 59 | 78 | 68 | 205 |
| Uttar Pradesh | Varanasi | 0 | 0 | 15 | 15 |
| Uttarakhand | Almora | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Uttarakhand | Bageshwar | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Uttarakhand | Chamoli | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Uttarakhand | Champawat | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Uttarakhand | Dehradun | 0 | 143 | 332 | 475 |
| Uttarakhand | Garhwal | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| Uttarakhand | Hardwar | 0 | 0 | 34 | 34 |
| Uttarakhand | Nainital | 0 | 356 | 162 | 518 |
| Uttarakhand | Pithoragarh | 0 | 12 | 146 | 158 |
| Uttarakhand | Rudraprayag | 0 | 0 | 28 | 28 |
| Uttarakhand | Tehri Garhwal | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Uttarakhand | Udhamsingh Nagar | 0 | 45 | 14 | 59 |
| Uttarakhand | Uttarkashi | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| West Bengal | Alipore | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| West Bengal | Bagbazar | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| West bengal | Bankura | 1 | 16 | 29 | 46 |
| West bengal | Barddhaman | 0 | 12 | 1 | 13 |
| West bengal | Behala | 0 | 4 | 0 | 4 |
| West bengal | Birbhum | 0 | 0 | 34 | 34 |

| State/UT | District | Number of patients notified by (Laboratories - Private) | Number of patients notified by (Single clinic - Private) | Number of patients notified by (Multi-clinic - Private) | Total No of TB patients notification by private sector ² |
|-------------|-------------------|---|--|---|---|
| West bengal | Dakshin Dinajpur | 0 | 0 | 30 | 30 |
| West bengal | Darjiling | 0 | 0 | 4 | 4 |
| West bengal | Haora | 0 | 282 | 15 | 297 |
| West bengal | Hazi | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| West bengal | Hugli | 0 | 2 | 8 | 10 |
| West bengal | Jalpaiguri | 7 | 14 | 12 | 33 |
| West bengal | Koch Bihar | 6 | 38 | 3 | 47 |
| West Bengal | Maldah | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| West bengal | Maniktala | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| West bengal | Manshatala | 0 | 7 | 0 | 7 |
| West Bengal | Medinipur East | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| West bengal | Medinipur West | 0 | 0 | 19 | 19 |
| West Bengal | MTMTB Boral | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| West Bengal | Murshidabad | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| West bengal | Nadia | 0 | 48 | 0 | 48 |
| West bengal | North 24 Parganas | 13 | 17 | 22 | 52 |
| West Bengal | Puruliya | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| West Bengal | South 24 Parganas | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| West Bengal | Strand Bank | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| West bengal | Tangra | 0 | 18 | 0 | 18 |
| West Bengal | Tollygunge | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| West bengal | Uttar Dinajpur | 0 | 14 | 0 | 14 |
| Grand Total | | 27628 | 77881 | 79103 | 184802 |



Initiatives & Success Stories from Grassroot



www.hiteshaw.eowin



Initiatives & Success Stories from Grassroot

Success Stories

Nagaland:

A Medicine Shop-owner in Kohima, Nagaland exemplifies community involvement in TB control.



Kohima, the picturesque capital of the state of Nagaland is nested in the high reaches of the mountains of North Eastern India. Among many difficulties it has the difficulties of accessibility, remoteness and unfriendly terrains. But a person like Mr. Santu Thapa has extended his hand to reach the unreached and make the life easier for many patients suffering from Tuberculosis for them to take medicines and get cured from the disease.

The motivated man owns a pharmacy named as "Community Pharmacy" in the town of Kohima and he took the responsibility of providing DOTS to the Tuberculosis patients since last few years and established a DOTS centre in his medicine shop.

Since then he has successfully completed treatment of 35 TB patients and is still continuing with the service to other patients. In such a difficult topography his service has made a difference to the lives of the TB patients and helped them to get cured. The attached photograph shows Mr. Thapa with the patient named Surju Rai

West Bengal

JHONG BAHADUR BHUJEL

Jong Bahadur Bhujel was a TB patient. Now he was 54 years old. He lives in Raidak Tea Garden. At first he was suffering chest symptomatic problem since very long time. Then he started private treatment. Three month later, he did not improve of his problem. After that he came Alipurduar Sub-Division Hospital in the year 2007, month of July. He was diagnosed sputum negative pulmonary TB. He started ATD (Govt.) in Raidak T.E. Hospital in 23rd July 2007. He has taken ATD regularly. After eight month later, he was treatment completed declared by the MO. Now he is doing our IEC. Programme regularly. He is motivating the other people to take the TB medicine properly.

Meghalaya

MDR-TB Patient, Kharon Sangma, PMDT No. 02/2015 from Chandrapathar, Rajabala under Bhaitbari PHC



was admitted in TB Hospital Tura then he absconded without informing the authorities, he stopped taking medicines nearly for one month and could not trace him. Staff tried to contact him through phone but he never picked up the phone by seeing the staff's phone number. STS from Phulbari TU visited his house but could not find him.

MOTC, Tura TU and DOT Plus Supervisor visited him. On that day it seem he was sitting near the road side but seeing the RNTCP Vehicle, he ran away from the site. When we reached his house, we found that he was sleeping on his bed pretending to be seriously ill. When we enquire him, he refused not to continue his medicine. After a long motivation along with his family members and villagers, at last he agreed to continue.

It's a short story and a real fact that we came across one MDR-TB patient of 2015. Elkam Marak Male/22, PMDT No. 38/2015 of Bangalmura under Resu TU, North Garo Hills was bit annoyed due to prolonged I.P. even after six month.

He compared himself with his co-MDR-TB patient, Barbose Marak who was Extra Pulmonary, PMDT No. 09/205 of Selsella under Phulbari TU since Extra Pulmonary patient doesn't need to do monthly sputum follow-up as pulmonary patient. Therefore DOT-Plus Committee members have decided to start C.P. for Barbose Marak, Extra Pulmonary after completion of six month.

On seeing this, Elkam Marak became unhappy as he has to continue with injection. Though he has completed six month yet DOT Plus Committee members could not start him C.P. due to his sputum result. His sputum result was as follows. 3rd month negative, 4th month contamination, so committee members have to wait for another one month for the 5th month sample report.

And at that time, this very patient became irregular and stopped taking medicine for at least one week. And as soon as we got the news, we ask DOT-Plus Supervisor of East Garo Hills to visit him and to motivate him and now he is back to mainstream after getting result of 5th month sample which was negative and DOT-Plus Committee members shifted him to continuation phase.

It's a fact and it might happen to any other DOT-Plus Sites too.

Haryana :



TB Unit Siwan, Kaithal District, Haryana

- Haryana state has scaled up the diabetic mellitus screening for all TB patients registered under RNTCP. Training of all Deputy CS (TB) been held at SIHFW Panchkula by State TB cell on 26th November 2015.
- For capacity building of the RNTCP staff, state has divided Haryana into training and review zones for PMDT, TBHIV and ACSM components. State will utilize these zones for intensive training of field staff for all new initiatives. Zonal Training for 6 districts at Gurgaon has already been planned in month of March.

- ZMQ an ICT initiative for improvement in treatment adherence of TB patient, scaled up from 20 TB patients to all TB patients in the district of Mewat.
- 4. Mission TB free Haryana campaign launched by Hon'ble Chief Minister, Haryana in Medanta Medcity Hospital, Gurgaon. In this campaign Mobile X-Ray facility has been provided by Medanta Medcity Hospital which will cover five districts of Haryana for improvement in TB case detection. With encouraging results the campaign will be expanded to whole of Haryana.

Jammu :

 Display of banners, pamphlets, displaying knowledge about the disease, its diagnosis, treatment regimens offered, counseling and confidence building in patients during treatment continuation during the Mega Health Mela at Basohli, Kathua District Jammu Division, May



2015. All suspected cases were referred to SDH Basohli for sputum examination. Various activities like skits on TB and other diseases, cultural activities by school children were also performed.

Jharkhand :

Intensified Case Finding (ICF) Camps in High Risk Settings of Jharkhand India

Being predominantly a tribal and resource constraint state, Jharkhand has been facing challenges in coping with Goals of Universal Access to TB care. Case Finding Activities in like Suspect Referral and Screening had been a major challenge for TB Control Programme in Jharkhand due to peculiar geo-political settings. However during 2015, State has witnessed Annual Suspect Examination Rate (SER) of ~500 per lakh population through network of ~300 Diagnostic Microscopy Centers.

Intensified Case Finding Activities in selected High Risk Settings was one among the Focused Action Points planned for Year 2015-16. Districts namely West Singhbhum, Seraikella – Kharswan, Gumla, Ranchi, Hazaribagh etc. took lead in setting examples of ICF impact in Case Finding scenario by achieving annual Sputum Examination Rate (SER) of > 500/lakh population and relative rise in SER in comparison to last year.

Socially as well as Clinically Vulnerable populations such as Contacts, Tribals, Miners, Prison Inmates, PLHA etc. were targeted for Screening in Outreach camps. Opportunities like Janata Darbar, VHND, Health Mela, Outreach Camps of NHM, Polio Vaccination Rounds etc. were also encased for Sputum Collection and referrals. Early Morning Sputum Sample Collections from presumptive cases were assisted by ASHAs, Axshya Project NGOs and Community Volunteers. Diagnosed cases were traced and counselled for Treatment Initiation.

Though, the state has witnessed a decrease in Suspect Examination and Case Notification during 2015; however, these districts had been able to achieve positive trends in terms of Case Finding Efforts.



ICF in Janata Darbar Gumla, Jharkhand



Seraikella - Kharswan



ICF Camp at Goilkera, West Singhbhum



Janata Darbar Hazaribagh

"Each1 Take Care of 1"-An initiative to support the TB patients in Jharkhand:

Keeping in view of the necessity of nutrition need of the TB Patients the District TB Forum (DTF), Hazaribagh has started collecting personal donation from their circle across India and abroad. The forum has initiated a nutritional support campaign with a slogan of "Each one take care of One" with a social networking site https://www.facebook.com/gro ups/710280202420554/842561145859125/?not if_t=group_comment_follow with more than 3000 members having liked the page. The DTF has a clear understanding that Community, social and nutritional support is paramount to coping with the disease of tuberculosis wherein the long duration of the disease, its treatment and multidrug therapy takes toll on the patient. Social media is a powerful tool for advocacy wherein people get closer interact to share their emotions, information, ideas, views, pictures and videos.



With this approach an appeal was made to adopt at least one TB patient and provide nutritional support to the patient. The group is now well known as "Each1TakeCare1-District TB Forum"



Since the formation of this group in Dec 2014 and by the end of 2015, more than 100 patients have been provided the nutritional support from Bishnugarh, Barahi, Barkatha, Charahi, Narki, Lawalong, Pratappur and Kunda.

Further by the end of 2015, this group has collected more than INR 50000 along with ~300 kg of pulses and distributed to patients. The DTF also distributed protein powder to patients in bare need along with support of towel to some patients for use in summer.

Assam :

TB Service at your doorstep: Intervention at Community Level

Lungsung, a forest village situated in Kokrajhar district of Assam bordering Bhutan has been deprived of



regular health services because of its extreme remoteness and security issues. The inhabitants of the village are displaced people of ethnic clash victims of 1996 who were later rehabilitated by district administration.

Under the leadership of Dr. Kaushik Das, (District TB officer), the RNTCP team reached the village on 22/4/15 and met Mr. Tanti Soren, Head Master of Lungsung LP school and Supal Hembrom, social activist of that area and organized a community awareness meeting in the LP school. Following a successful awareness campaign among the people of that area, Mr. Supal Hembrom was engaged as a sputum collector cum DOT provider and since then he has been performing his duties. Till November 2015 he had collected 31 nos. of sputum samples, out of which 9 were found to be sputum positive cases and all are getting DOTS through him. The district has planned to conduct similar activities in other difficult to reach areas.

Media Fellowship on RNTCP:

During last few years, to accelerate ACSM campaign on RNTCP a growing need was felt for active involvement of media for effective and mass awareness generation.



State TB Cell officials interacting with Guwahati Press Club official before launching of the Media Fellowship Programme on RNTCP

Considering this State TB Cell of Assam decided to launch a 'Media Fellowship Programme on RNTCP' in the State. In collaboration with Guwahati Press Club, proposals were invited from journalist of the state. Among 16 proposals, two journalists were selected on April, 2015 by Press Club of Guwahati. Later, they were sensitized on RNTCP through one to one interaction and also exposing them to various activities of RNTCP through field visits.

The selected journalists were given ₹20,000 as fellowship for two months period and were assigned to publish articles in leading Assamese newspapers of Assam. Both the journalists published 4 articles each in leading newspapers like Dainik Asom, Asomiya Khabar and focused not only on spreading awareness regarding free diagnostic and treatment facilities available under RNTCP but also highlighting on the age-old stigma associated with the disease. Many public queries were received by State TB Cell officials following publishing of these articles. This effort was lauded in various forums and generated further demand for continuing this activity.

Arunachal Pradesh



During 2015 the State actively engaged in various advocacy and communication activities across the State with focus on school based activities, community sensitization and patient provider meetings as well as radio talks.

Karnataka :

Story of 170 year old Government Wenlock District Hospital Mangalore turning into full-fledged DR TB



centre despite all odds. Wenlock Government District Hospital is a tertiary care hospital catering health care needs of the 8-10 adjacent districts, located in the heart of Mangalore City in Karnataka.

State has set an example for feasibility of establishing well-functioning Drug resistant TB centre (DR TB centre) at district level government hospitals. In the current context of urgent need for decentralization of DR TB services in each district, this example is worth sharing.


A leprosy ward located in the hospital campus was unused for many years and was almost in a ruining phase, but had to be leveraged for the want of space. The building was in a pathetic condition, District surgeon of the hospital Dr Rajeshwari Devi H R took up extraordinary bold efforts to mobilize resources from Arogya Raksha Samithi fund to renovate the existing building over and above the budget provided by the programme. The building was renovated and a well-equipped 10 bedded ward was established as per the National Air borne infection control guidelines. All her efforts were strongly supported by Dr M Ramakrishna Rao, District Health Officer and Dr Anil S, STO Karnataka.

However establishing DR TB centre invited criticism by the general public for the fear of spread of MDR TB as the location was strategic. This issue was then handled sensitively by creating positive awareness and reassurance of adequate air borne infection control measures through various influential stake holders of the community. After series of sensitizations and meetings, DR TB committee was formed and the faculties were trained at national level. Today the centre has a fullfledged infrastructure with fully motivated team of faculties providing high level care and support for DR TB patients including nutritional support. So far 101 DRTB patients were successfully initiated on treatment since January 2015 till date and 87 cases are on regular treatment. A garden with herbal plants is all set to provide a healthy arena for DRTB patients in the vicinity.

The hospital now aims to establish a critical care unit with Ventilators for critically ill Drug Resistant TB patients. This state of art DR TB centre with CCU is sure to set an example to other district level hospitals in the country to move towards Universal Access to DR TB services.

Mizoram :

Realizing the importance of NSP Case Detection, it



was felt that passive case finding was not going to cut the ambitious plans of RNTCP in the state. Following an important state level meeting held capital, in the it was decided that sputum cups would be placed at different Urban Health Centres which would be

collected by a designated collector for transfer and subsequently examination of the collected specimens. This method has proven to be of quite significance and will be continued until further evidence surfaces on its effectiveness. Diversity of different cultures is an integral part of the state. This means that language and cultural barriers needs to be crossed regarding public awareness of TB among the different people living here. Keeping this in mind, important leaflets on TB has been translated to Bru and Chakma languages for Bru and Chakma people respectively. It is hoped that this will create a much needed awareness in these areas where lifestyles and hygienic practices are relatively low.

Though Mizoram is a small state, poverty of many of the patients and geographical barriers often present barriers in diagnosis and treatment of TB, including MDR-TB. Sputum samples of Line list suspects for MDR-TB who cannot afford to leave their daily works are collected at their doorsteps in some districts of the state. Long walks on makeshift roads and sometimes boat trips are undertaken to ensure that patients get the treatment and attention they deserve.

Maharashtra



Maharashtra RNTCP state level review through Video

conferencing in 2015. Innovative cost effective Review strategy of RNTCP Maharashtra; Dr Kamble STO Maharashtra and Dr Pawar Deputy STO MH taking review through Video Conferencing

State level trophy was awarded to best



TB INDIA 2016 143

performing worker in RNTCP in state level function in Maharashtra on occasion of World TB Day 2015.

Gujarat

A state has organised one day motivational programme (Beyond Mind power) with the help of professional management guru during December 2015 for State and District RNTCP officials.



Sensitization workshop for all Multipurpose Health Worker (MPHW) to do house to house activity for active case finding throughout state during October'15



Three days State level sensitization for workshop was organised for all STS, STLS, DPS, DPPMC, DPC and TBHVs during December 15.





TB INDIA 2016 Revised National TB Control Programme ANNUAL STATUS REPORT

UNITE TO END TB



Central TB Division

Directorate General of Health Services Ministry of Health and Family Weitare, Nirman Bhawan, New Delhi - 110108 www.tbcindia.gov.in



This Publication can be obtaioned from

Central TB Division

Directorate General of Health Services Ministry of Health and Family Welfare Nirman Bhavan, New Delhi - 110108 http://www.tbcindia.gov.in March 2016

©Central TB Division, Directorate General of Health of Health Services

Printed by: Current Print Productions (P) Ltd.